1 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK - CIVIL TERM - PART 54 2 -----X 3 Index No. FREDERICK ISEMAN, 655552/23 4 Plaintiff, 5 -against-6 MICHAEL HECHT, LISE MOTHERWELL, CLIFFORD ROSS, 7 HELEN FRANKENTHALER FOUNDATION, INC., 8 Defendants. 9 -----X 10 60 Centre Street New York, New York PROCEEDINGS September 10, 2024 11 12 BEFORE: 13 HONORABLE JENNIFER G. SCHECTER, 14 JUSTICE 15 16 A P P E A R A N C E S: 17 KAPLAN MARTIN, LLP ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 18 156 West 56th Street 19 New York New York BY: ROBERTA KAPLAN, ESQ. CHRISTOPHER LeCONEY, ESQ. 20 21 SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT 22 ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANT 425 Lexington Avenue 23 New York, New York BY: LYNN NEUNER, ESQ. 24 MEREDITH KARP, ESQ. 25

I	
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	DONTRIN NACY 6 FIFTCOTC
4	DONTZIN, NAGY & FLEISSIG ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
5	31 East 62nd Street New York, New York
6	BY: MATTHEW DENTZIN, ESQ. DAVID FLEISSIG, ESQ.
7	JASON KOLBE, ESQ.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	LISA M. DE CRESCENZO,
24	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	
	LD

I	Proceedings
1	MS. KAPLAN: So, for plaintiff Frederick
2	Iseman, Roberta Kaplan from the Kaplan Martin firm. The
3	address is 156 West 56th Street, New York New York. I'm
4	here with my partner, Christopher LeConey who may be
5	arguing portions of the argument today.
6	MS. NEUNER: This is Lynn Neuner, N-e-u-n-e-r.
7	I'm here together with my partner, Meredith Karp, which
8	is K-a-r-p. We're both from Simpson Thacher & Bartlett
9	LLP. The address is 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, New
10	York 10017. We represent the Helen Frankenthaler
11	Foundation Inc. and I will be arguing the Foundation's
12	motion to dismiss while Ms. Karp will be arguing motion
13	sequence number 2 which is regarding reasonable
14	parameters on investigations.
15	MR. DONTZIN: I'm Matthew Dontzin. Matthew, two
16	T's, middle initial S, and I'm with the law firm of
17	Dontzin, Nagy & Fleissig. We're at 31 East 62nd Street,
18	New York City 10065 and I'm here with my partners Jason
19	Kolbe, K-o-l-b-e, and David Fleissig, F-l-e-i-s-s-i-g,
20	and we're here on behalf of the directors of the
21	foundation. Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone.
23	MS. NEUNER: Good afternoon, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: I hope you're all doing well. Let's
25	get started.
	LD

	Proceedings
1	I have in front of me three motions today and
2	two of them are motions to dismiss and another one has to
3	do with investigatory measures that have been taken. I
4	really want to be laser focused today on the issue of
5	standing.
б	My question is, I think it's for Ms. Kaplan. I
7	don't know whether it's for Ms. Kaplan or is it Mr.
8	LeConey. It's going to be Ms. Kaplan.
9	MS. KAPLAN: I think it's for me, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Terrific. So, why here,
11	based on the bylaws, why isn't this a situation where the
12	documentary evidence, which specifically is the bylaws,
13	the meeting minutes, even, I'll even say one e-mail,
14	which we can discuss, why doesn't that utterly refute the
15	claim that he was improperly terminated as opposed to
16	that he wasn't reelected in accordance with the bylaws?
17	MS. KAPLAN: So, your Honor, first of all, in
18	accordance I'm going to hold for a second because I
19	understand your Honor's question is why this kind of
20	evidence, why these kind of documents are inappropriate
21	under 3211(a)1 and, while I'm not aware of a single case
22	in which the Appellate Division or the Court of Appeals
23	has affirmed dismissal under 3211(a)1 on a record that
24	looks anything like this, but I'll hold that out because
25	your Honor is aware of those arguments. I can make those
	LD

	Proceedings
1	secondly.
2	Going straight to the merits. Under the
3	Foundation's bylaws, Mr. Iseman could only be removed as
4	a director for cause.
5	THE COURT: One moment. Under the bylaws or
6	under the law? Because the bylaws, I think, actually say
7	he can be removed without cause.
8	MS. KAPLAN: No, I think here they say with
9	cause, your Honor, but it's also under New York law.
10	THE COURT: New York law I got but I was very
11	curious because I did think that I saw in the bylaws that
12	it just said he can be voted out by a majority with or
13	without cause, but I can look at my highlights. Hold on.
14	I don't know that it matters. To be sure.
15	MS. KAPLAN: It's Article 3, Section 4, your
16	Honor. It says a director may be removed for cause by a
17	vote of the majority of the board of directors.
18	THE COURT: One moment, because mine says,
19	unless I'm reading the wrong bylaws, any officer elected
20	or appointed by the board of directors may be removed by
21	the vote of the majority, either with or without cause.
22	Am I in the wrong bylaws? This is docket 28.
23	MS. KAPLAN: I'm looking at Document 50, which
24	is the amended bylaws dated September 26, 2018.
25	THE COURT: Okay. I was looking at an earlier
	LD

Proceedings 1 although mine says amended and restated. What was your 2 date? MS. KAPLAN: 2018. 3 THE COURT: So does mine. Okay, hold on one 4 5 I was looking at docket 28 but, you know what, second. it doesn't even matter to me. I will even take it 6 because I think the law says for cause. I will accept 7 8 that. I will accept the argument for purposes of 9 argument. 10 Go ahead. Okay. So, I don't think we need 11 MS. KAPLAN: 12 to get there, your Honor, because --13 THE COURT: Agreed. It doesn't matter. 14 MS. KAPLAN: Mr. Iseman was not removed from the board for that process, instead they claim he was not 15 reelected and a reelection or non-reelection can occur 16 17 without cause. Here's the problem with that, your Honor. First of all, the bylaws explicitly provide that 18 19 the annual meeting will be the first meeting following 20 the beginning of the corporation's fiscal year unless 21 otherwise fixed by the law. That is by law Article 3, Section 6. 22 23 The April 21, 2023, meeting was, in fact, the 24 first meeting of the fiscal year that year and the board itself did not otherwise fix the annual meeting for 25

Proceedings 1 another date. 2 THE COURT: One moment. Ms. Kaplan, was there an election of directors at that April meeting? 3 MS. KAPLAN: There was not, your Honor, but 4 5 that was not uncommon and the bylaws provide that when there wasn't an election, that the directors would 6 7 continue. I can give you a cite for that, your Honor. 8 It's bylaws Article 3, Section 2. THE COURT: Okay, because I'm there. 9 The 10 directors will be elected at the annual meeting, the 11 board of directors, by a majority of directors then in 12 office, and each director will hold office until the next 13 annual meeting and the election or appointment of 14 qualification of the director successors and then it goes on and talks about unless there's earlier death, 15 16 resignation, or removal and it says that the expiration 17 of any term, any director may be reelected. So, it's clear that there is an annual meeting 18 19 every year, and I think there's a provision that talks 20 about the term of the members being for a year until the 21 annual meeting but, yes, this is Article 5, Section 2, the officers will be elected annually. 22 23 So, the officers of the corporation will be 24 elected annually by the board of directors at the annual 25 meetings --

7

I	Proceedings
1	MS. KAPLAN: Officers, your Honor. Not
2	directors.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So, the officers will be
4	elected annually and the directors be elected at the
5	annual meeting by a majority of directors then in office,
6	right. But what made this the April meeting was the
7	first meeting and yes, there was a financial report at
8	that meeting, but, your client acknowledged that that
9	wasn't the annual meeting, as in, when there was a
10	message that went out that said "we didn't have our
11	annual meeting. We're doing it in May," your client said
12	"I want the agenda" and then your client showed up at the
13	meeting and voted for the directors, in fact.
14	MS. KAPLAN: No. That is incorrect, your
15	Honor. That happened before my client either before
16	the resolution that was the annual meeting happened
17	before my client got on and the statement that electing
18	the members, he was already on but he wasn't voted on.
19	The point, in the beginning of that meeting, if
20	you look at the minutes for yourself, the statement that
21	it was recognized as the board was to recognize the
22	meeting as the annual meeting for the board of 2023 is on
23	page 2 and that's before Mr. Iseman joined the video
24	conference.
25	THE COURT: But he got notice that it was the
	LD

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

annual meeting before and he sent an e-mail that said "can I have an agenda for the annual meeting." It wasn't exactly in those words but he sent an e-mail saying "send me the agenda for annual meeting." Again, not using those exact words, but, even if he wasn't there for the resolution that this is the annual meeting, he voted for the rest of the directors at that meeting.

MS. KAPLAN: Yes. But, your Honor, that was done after they came up with the plan to say that this was going to be the annual meeting, had that vote before Mr. Iseman entered, sent Mr. Iseman an e-mail one or two days before without any notice or any clear statement that we're going to ignore the first meeting as the annual meeting and treat this one as the annual meeting instead.

There's nothing in the e-mails that say that; and, at the original meeting, and your Honor noted, it was consistent with the annual meeting. The financial presentation was made. So, Mr. Iseman would have had no reason, since he wasn't aware of these plans to "un-reelect" him. The whole point of putting these words in the e-mail was to continue with their scheme to get him off the board.

THE COURT: Wait, but they told him in advance they were going to un-reelect him. Not the first notice,

	Proceedings
1	to be sure, but they even told him in advance of the
2	meeting, we're not planning to reelect you. If you want
3	to step down, step down.
4	MS. KAPLAN: The day before at 4:51 p.m., they
5	served notice.
6	THE COURT: Well, he got notice that it was going
7	to be the annual meeting even before that. I don't
8	understand how there can be an argument here that he
9	didn't have notice that it was the annual meeting. He
10	showed up and he took play to heart in the vote.
11	MS. KAPLAN: The vote that was the annual
12	meeting took place before he entered the room. That was
13	highly unusual.
14	THE COURT: No, no, for the board I'm talking
15	about.
16	MS. KAPLAN: I understand but the point it was
17	an annual meeting which then gave them the right to
18	un-reelect him from the board, supposedly, that happened
19	before he was on the call. Yes, are there a couple of
20	e-mails a day or two before that one, the most the one
21	your Honor pointed out, late in the afternoon the day
22	before that said these things? Of course, but that is
23	not notice.
24	It's certainly not sufficient notice. It
25	certainly never says we're going to ignore the first
	LD

	Proceedings
1	meeting as the annual meeting and make this the annual
2	meeting. We changed our mind.
3	THE COURT: They said they sent him an e-mail
4	saying it's the annual meeting and he said, "can I please
5	have the agenda." That was before the e-mail where they
6	told him, we're not planning to reelect you.
7	MS. KAPLAN: He had no basis at this point to
8	understand they were hanging their hat on the difference
9	between the annual meeting and regular meeting.
10	Mr. Iseman was participating as a responsible board
11	member. He wanted the minutes. He wanted to be
12	involved.
13	He didn't know he'd be excluded at the beginning
14	of the meeting in which they decided to make this the
15	annual meeting. There is no evidence in the record,
16	whatsoever. This is what discovery is about, about what
17	Mr. Iseman did and said in those days for Mr. Iseman.
18	That is why 3211(a)1 is completely inappropriate
19	here. Looking at a series of exchanges self-written,
20	unsigned, really, by the defendants without anything from
21	the other side. That is why we have discovery. That is
22	why we don't do this on motions to dismiss under 3211(a)1
23	and one thing I can clearly say is that Mr. Iseman could
24	hardly have been clearer for weeks and weeks and weeks
25	leading up to this, from testing what was going on with
	LD

	L.
	Proceedings
1	the board, from testing the plans to get rid of the
2	foundation altogether.
3	The first time they raised this, this is in
4	response to the second time they raise it and Mr. Iseman
5	could hardly have been he's not exactly a shy donor,
6	your Honor. He couldn't have been more vociferous. Had
7	he known they would come up with this crafty plan, they'd
8	have a board meeting before he gets on saying we'll call
9	this the annual meeting, even though the financial
10	presentation was at the other one and then to un-reelect
11	him, no.
12	THE COURT: Ms. Kaplan, he got an e-mail saying
13	it's the annual meeting. The fact that he was late to
14	the meeting and missed the resolution doesn't change
15	MS. KAPLAN: It was
16	THE COURT: One moment.
17	MS. KAPLAN: He was
18	THE COURT: It doesn't even matter. That
19	doesn't even matter. I will withdraw. I don't care when
20	he joined the meeting. The point is, in advance of the
21	meeting, he was told this is the annual meeting. He
22	said: "Send me annual meeting agenda and/or agenda
23	please, including annual meeting."
24	These are his own words and then he did show up
25	at the meeting and voted for directors. I just don't see
	LD

	Proceedings
1	how that I don't see what discovery would reveal or
2	what's necessary. He had notice. He actually showed up.
3	The bylaws say, even if it's deemed not to be a meeting
4	at a fixed time by the bord, and they did fix the time
5	and they did tell him in advance it was going to be the
6	annual meeting, there is also a provision that says if
7	you show up at the meeting, you waive. If you attend
8	without protest, prior thereto or at its commencement,
9	the lack of notice is waived.
10	MS. KAPLAN: We're not talking about notice for
11	a meeting. We're talking about the notice the meeting is
12	going to be at 2:00 the next day for people to
13	participate. That is what that talks about. This is
14	about a scheme that the other defendants and you can
15	look at their e-mail. Let's look at the e-mail Ms.
16	Motherwell says all the things she plans on doing that
17	she delivered 4:51 the night before.
18	She says, this is the annual meeting. We're
19	going to unelect you. The vote doesn't require reason.
20	Incorrect. We believe it's in the best interest of
21	foundation. We've voiced our concerns. None of those
22	materials, none of the voicing of concerns, none of their
23	discussions, none of how Mr. Iseman reacted to that, none
24	of that, not an ounce of it is in the record; and, if I

13

LD

am correct, which we believe we will show in discovery,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

that this was a secret plan hatched by the other directors to recall the first meeting because we have no record here that any other meeting any other year was renamed the annual meeting after it already took place, never happened.

So, he'd have no reason to think that that was an issue. If I'm correct, that is what they did to stop his vociferous objections to getting rid of this foundation that holds the works and legacy of his aunt's artwork. I'm entitled to discovery to show that if they want to bring that issue up again on a full record when I get documents, when I get depositions, when my client has an opportunity to put in an affidavit, they can remake the motion then but on this record under 3211(a)1, there is not a single case that comes anywhere near this.

In fact, with all the redactions that's not a 16 17 case that grants a 3211(a)1 motion with redactions. The 18 courts say no. No. I'm not telling you that, your 19 Honor, because your Honor will be wise to it, can 20 ultimately maybe find that the process was proper here, 21 but there's enough smoke, given what we said, given the 22 documents, they wrote all the documents themselves, given 23 there was nothing signed by Mr. Iseman, and given the 24 very slapdash, last minute way that they did this, for you to conclude, without discovery, that it was Kosher, 25

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

it was proper, and it wasn't part of an improper scheme
to get rid of Mr. Iseman because he was objecting to the
end of this foundation, which was exactly what, not only
the article talks about but exactly what New York
not-for-profit law talks about.

THE COURT: What if I -- again, I look at the bylaws and they say that annual meetings will be held at such time and places as fixed by the board of directors. It also says, unless otherwise fixed by the board of directors, the annual meeting will be the first meeting following the beginning of the fiscal year, but, again, here, he has advance notice that it is an annual meeting.

He writes back acknowledging it's an annual meeting, asking for the agenda. He shows up. He votes for the directors. He doesn't get reelected. They then also vote to decrease the number of officers on the board and make it a three or a three-member board; but, in any event, if I were to find that what they did was consistent with the bylaws, as a matter of law, where does it leave your client in terms of this case?

MS. KAPLAN: I don't believe it's consistent with the bylaws, your Honor. The bylaws do not success you can have the meeting, the first meeting after the start of the fiscal year and then retroactively say that is not the annual meeting. We decided we'll have a

15

Proceedings 1 second meeting four weeks later, and there is no evidence 2 in the record of any separate meetings four weeks later ever in the history of this foundation. 3 THE COURT: Ms. Kaplan, when he got notice that 4 5 it was going to be the annual meeting and asked for an agenda, did he say "wait a minute. We just had the 6 7 annual meeting. Why are we having another annual meeting 8 in May?" 9 MS. KAPLAN: It was four weeks. Mr. Iseman, 10 within a 48-hour period was given notice of this plan. 11 Did he have time to consult with his lawyers and look at 12 the bylaws? No. He trusted his fellow directors; and, 13 he trusted, since he cared about the foundation, that 14 they'd have a meeting that would discuss the best interest of this foundation and Ms. Frankenthaler's 15 16 legacy. 17 In this situation where these kind of issues are 18 at stake, to suggest there was proper notice in three or 19 four e-mails, all of which came to Mr. Iseman in a 20 48-hour period and he was supposed to understand the word 21 annual in the e-mail was supposed to jump out of him and

they were all conspireing to do that behind his back --

22

23

24

25

mean it is not only the election, even though we now know

THE COURT: They told him they basically conspired as in first they tell him it's going to be the

16

1	annual meeting. He writes back and says "give me the
2	agenda." They sent an agenda saying directors are going
3	to be elected and shortly before the meeting, they tell
4	him we want to let you know, all three of us we are
5	going we are not going to reelect you.
б	MS. KAPLAN: Let me ask you this question.
7	What if, in discovery, we were to find an e-mail or text
8	on the other side that says "hey guys, we really have to
9	get rid of Fred. He's really difficult. He doesn't like
10	what we want to do. He wants the foundation to exist and
11	know what, here's the way by the way, we found a
12	lawyer who came up with a crafty idea and the crafty idea
13	our lawyer came up with, guys, is we take the first
14	meeting that happened three weeks ago, we recall it
15	something else, and redesignate it as not the annual
16	meeting.
17	He'll never understand that because we never had
18	meetings like this in the past. He will think that
19	something has come up and when we un-reelect him from the

something has come up and when we un-reelect him from the board -- sorry, your Honor, under New York condo law and under the principal, that would establish standing for Fred Iseman.

20

21

22

23

24

25

To do it here on a record where we don't get any discovery where it's really clear, even from the documents that we have, that something was up. Look, for

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

example, your Honor, at the minutes. The minutes of May, you can tell from the documents, were not produced. I mean, the minutes in April were not produced sometime until May.

The reason that's true is because it's all part of the same plan. They came forward. They didn't like what Mr. Iseman had to say at the April meeting; and. In a period of three or four weeks, behind his back, they hatched this plan.

If there is discovery documents that say that, which I'm sure there are, then that establishes standing, not only under the strict rules of these bylaws and of his status as director and we cited cases when directors were pushed out in an improper way and not establishing standing but, clearly, it establishes standing under the public interest standing doctrine.

There is no one else available to stand for the future of this foundation and to make sure that it isn't transferred, all the artwork isn't sold off in the next three years so that the public and everyone else doesn't get to appreciate what Ms. Frankenthaler did.

THE COURT: All right. So, again, though, let's put that aside. I hear your argument. What if I don't find that there was any deviation from the bylaws or that the bylaws authorized this type of mechanism in terms of

1	Proceedings
1	there hadn't been an election before. They're supposed
2	to have elections every year. It's been more than a
3	year, a notice that they're going to have an election.
4	There is your only your term is until the next
5	election and so I even would wonder, like, by now, if
б	they've had even another.
7	I don't know if they've had another election or
8	not but the point is there is a set term.
9	MS. KAPLAN: Excuse me for interrupting. That
10	is exactly why we get discovery. That is exactly why you
11	said you didn't know whether there was another meeting or
12	not. That is exactly why. It's missing a case. Under
13	3211(a)1 it is completely improper.
14	We're entitled to find out what is the history
15	of the meetings here. How do elections happen? Were
16	there times in the past where people just rolled over and
17	there were no elections? Where there ever annual
18	meetings where there wasn't a presentation of annual
19	financial reporting? Was there ever a meeting that
20	happened less than four weeks after the last meeting?
21	All of those facts are relevant to a fair
22	determination by you as to whether this process that
23	happened sometime between the end of April and mid May in
24	2023 was appropriate under the bylaws.
25	THE COURT: Ms. Kaplan, in the prior years in
	LD

	Proceedings
1	April, did they have the elections in April?
2	MS. KAPLAN: I only know what they produced and
3	from what I produced, there were not regular dates for
4	these meetings. They don't seem to follow a regular
5	pattern. They're all over the lot. There's very little
6	formality, your Honor, from what we can see that affixes
7	to the way the meetings are run and how they go.
8	THE COURT: So
9	MS. KAPLAN: One more thing. The attorney
10	who's advising them of all this was Mr. Iseman's attorney
11	as well and they hid from him, for many months, the fact
12	that he had recused himself because of the obvious
13	conflict involved.
14	If your Honor were to find the attorney was
15	acting in a way that violated his duties to two clients
16	at the same time, which may well be the case, given what
17	Mr. Iseman filed at the very last minute, that also would
18	create concern, I believe, in your Honor's mind, whether
19	this was appropriate; and, one of reasons why Mr. Iseman
20	wasn't counseled by his attorney, he just found out just
21	before this happened, his attorney recused himself and
22	wouldn't talk to him about these things.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MS. KAPLAN: Every indicia of an inappropriate
25	process that was done as part of conspiracy to get him
	LD

	Proceedings
1	off is not consistent with the way they operated, not
2	consistent with the bylaws, not consistent with past
3	practice exists here and, your Honor, again, under
4	blackletter law, under 3211(a)1 this is not the thing
5	you're supposed to be deciding under their own
6	self-serving papers.
7	It is not a deed or mortgage. It's not a
8	contractor that is self executing.
9	THE COURT: They're bylaws. The bylaws are
10	certainly a contract.
11	MS. KAPLAN: You can't do it just on the bylaws
12	or based on their self-serving e-mails.
13	THE COURT: I'm not so convinced in terms of,
14	again, what I'd be using the e-mails for is that he had
15	notice. That is established by the e-mails and also to
16	the extent that maybe you could tell me that the meeting
17	minutes, for example, don't correspond to what actually
18	happened. Mr. Iseman hasn't said a word, and it is
19	frequent that I see, in the context of a 3211, that if
20	you want to refute documents that are submitted or
21	e-mails, there is an affidavit.
22	There's something that says, Judge, this is not
23	what happened. This is manufactured. It's untrue.
24	MS. KAPLAN: We didn't put in an affidavit
25	because an affidavit is completely inappropriate under
<u>.</u>	LD

if your Honor wants an affidavit from Mr. Iseman, I don't think it's appropriate under 3211(a)1. That is why I did not submit one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: It's not necessary, to be clear.

It is not and it is true that the documentary evidence, again, has to utterly refute but why would I question the board minutes when he was at the meeting and he doesn't give me reason to question that he voted for the directors or that he had notice?

MS. KAPLAN: He wasn't at the beginning of the meeting deliberately, your Honor, where they reconstrued the meeting. So, there was no longer the-- originally, this was the annual meeting. This is the annual meeting. It's undisputed, given the minutes. Then they say they will have an election.

Again, this is all happening within a period of five, six days total and given the past practices of this foundation, it's not appropriate on this record for you to say that A, it's the annual meeting. They can do it this way and not engage in a conversation about whether they had retroactively reclassified it.

B, all their own self-serving statements which, again, the record is clear, is not appropriate under 3211(a)1 motion.

Three, we're more than happy to put in an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

affidavit of what Mr. Iseman did but, really, you're looking at summary judgment territory. That is why this is inappropriate. Mr. Iseman can refute all this, if you give me the opportunity. I couldn't find a single authority that would suggest to me that was proper to submit on this motion.

THE COURT: I think there is a case called Ravello or something along those lines that says I can consider it in terms of at least showing me that the documentary evidence is refutable or in an attempt to amend or salvage a complaint that is defective.

It is very common that I do see affidavits to that effect, but, again, it's neither here nor there. It's not mandated but it certainly could be done. Here's my question, and I was trying to ask it before.

Assuming, again, that I am convinced, for the moment, that the bylaws were satisfied, is all lost based on the Not-For-Profit Corporation Law Section 720 provision that in order to have standing, you have to be a director?

MS. KAPLAN: No, Your Honor. As your Honor, knows, New York has developed a common-law doctrine and special interest standing and that the Court of Appeals in the 2005 case of Consumers Union said that doctrine is necessary in order to relax the usual rules of standing

23

	Proceedings
1	in cases where charitable interest is involved.
2	My friends on the other side try to argue it's
3	limited to a specific context; but, when you read the
4	Court of Appeals decision, it's clear they talk about,
5	generally, when a charitable event is involved.
6	THE COURT: Any time? Because that seems like
7	it would swallow the rule.
8	MS. KAPLAN: Excuse me?
9	THE COURT: It can't be any time a charitable is
10	involved.
11	MS. KAPLAN: No, of course not, but it is not
12	that it's limited only to the facts of Consumer Union
13	either, which is their interpretation of the language.
14	Considering whether the special interest doctrine
15	applies, of course, look at the following factors:
16	Is there a sufficiently cognizable stake in the
17	outcome to cast a dispute capable of the resolution? Mr.
18	Iseman more than satisfies this for more than three
19	reasons.
20	One, Mr. Iseman was very close to his aunt. It
21	is not just family ties alone. Ms. Frankenthaler trusted
22	Mr. Iseman so much she chose him to be co-executor of his
23	estate. She put him as a trustee on this board, which he
24	sat on for more than 20 years before his improper ouster
25	and improper plans to get rid of the foundation
	LD

	Proceedings
1	altogether; and, other jurisdictions have looked, as they
2	should, at 20 years of service on the foundation.
3	Someone as being quite significant, for example, if you
4	look at the DC Court of Appeals case in the Family
5	Federation for World Peace 129, A3d, 234 at 244.
6	My friends on the other side suggested the
7	Court's ruling in that case was based on the plaintiff's
8	status as trustees. That is not true. The actual words
9	of the decision say status, both as trustees and as
10	directors. That's at 241/42.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MS. KAPLAN: Second reason why special interest
13	standing is appropriate here has to do with the risk to
14	the foundation. New York Courts using New York
15	common-law take an expansive standing when a plaintiff
16	with close connections to a charitable organization
17	challenges an attempt to close or convert that
18	organization for another purpose.
19	So, when you're talking about a limiting
20	principal, your Honor, if you look at Court of Appeals
21	cases where this is happening, it's very often in a
22	situation where there is a proposal to basically get rid
23	of a foundation. That is true in Consumers Union where
24	the Court says this is common in a situation where
25	they're likely to get one shot decisive transaction in
	LD

Proceedings
the life of a nonprofit entity.
It's true in other Court of Appeals cases,
including the Alco Gravure case, in which there was also
problems with charitable organizations. Consumer Union

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

was about employer plans and the Court found special interest standing for beneficiaries of the plan because it was converted from not-for-profit to a for profit--

THE COURT: In Consumer Union, though, I think the Attorney General had an interest in the case because it involved a statute that was passed, and the board had a disability there too in terms of there was no one else who could prosecute the case.

So, that is what the Consumer's Union and Court took into account there and here, I have no reason why the Attorney General could not prosecute or get involved or bring an action in this scenario. Maybe the Attorney General is exactly what would be or could be the only one, considering there are no other directors currently who are persuing this.

20 MS. KAPLAN: The AG didn't step in the Alco 21 Gravure case. There is no question there about them 22 defending the New York State statute. Very similar, 23 here, they intervened and the Court found their lack of 24 intervention because of the risk to the survival to that charitable entity justified the plaintiffs from having 25

26

27	
----	--

	Proceedings
1	standing.
2	THE COURT: Alco Gravure also involves specific
3	distribution of funds that the plaintiffs there have an
4	entitlement to distributions of funds themselves and that
5	Mr. Iseman does not have that same entitlement here.
6	MS. KAPLAN: I think that is the exact opposite
7	way. Mr. Iseman here stands nothing to gain from this
8	case. Not a penny, not a nickel. His intentions have
9	only to do with his aunt's legacy in the pursuit of
10	survival of the foundation and his interest is more
11	consistent with special interest standing than it was for
12	people who are looking to get money out of it.
13	He's actually similar to the AG in this case and
14	doing it for the public interest of the United States.
15	THE COURT: This isn't like a KeySpan
16	MS. KAPLAN: Foundation
17	THE COURT: There is no private right of action
18	for someone who cares about the legacy or standing is
19	statutory other than the special interest exception, but
20	I don't know that there's ever been recognition of such a
21	broad special interest exception that someone who has
22	good motives, like really pure motives and cares a lot.
23	MS. KAPLAN: It is not just that, your Honor.
24	That was the last thing I raised. We talked about
25	different factors. He is executor of the will, the
	LD

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

nephew, on the board for 20 years. He strongly objected to closing this foundation. Both Court of Appeals cases are about closing foundations, shutting down charitable entities. The NYAG in this case, including the cases where they were defending the statute, wasn't intervening, and the last difference, your Honor, pointed out to say special interest standing doesn't apply, is he doesn't have interest in getting money.

I think that cuts the opposite way. He's not doing this out of any selfish interest. He is doing it to protect the future of the foundation. On top of that, we now have reason to believe that serious harm to this foundation may actually have occurred or already been occurring.

As your Honor is aware, in connection with the order to show cause, we asked a very simple question of counsel for the foundation. Please confirm to us that Ms. Frankenthaler's masterpiece from the early part of her career, Mountains and Sea, has not been given or otherwise transferred in order to get retrospective on the centennial of her birth.

One of the reasons that it's very concerning is it is her masterwork. B, it would be highly unusual to get a painting of that value in order to get a retrospective and three, Ms. Frankenthaler was a bit of a

28

1 New York snob and felt very strongly her work should be 2 distributed in New York perpetually at the top museums. In response to that, Ns. Neuner, as is her 3 4 right, refuses to so confirm. If there is concern about 5 Mountain and Sea, she refuses to confirm there is an issue with Mountain and Sea and unless this case is 6 7 litigated, the Mountains and Sea is gone, your Honor, the 8 most important work of Ms. Frankenthaler's career and the most important work of the entire movement in 9 10 20th-Century art. 11 So, this is hard to imagine a stronger case for 12 special understanding given the true threats to get rid 13 of the foundation, improper removal of Mr. Iseman after 14 the second threat was made. Mr. Iseman's longstanding history, both on the board and with his aunt and his 15 16 aunt's intentions and the fact he's doing this because he 17 thinks it's the right thing to do to honor his aunt's 18 legacy, not because he's a beneficiary who will get some 19 money out of it. 20 On top of that, you have a concern about one of 21 her greatest earlier masterpieces and all those 22 circumstances, your Honor, it's hard to imagine a better 23 case with special interest standing, and, I know your 24 Honor knows this, but New York common law is

29

LD

intentionally and deliberately flexible enough to

	Proceedings
1	accommodate that.
2	I know the Judge you clerked for believed that
3	strongly and I know it's the truth under New York common
4	law, and the potential risk here that this foundation
5	could disappear and there'd no longer be a Helen
6	Frankenthaler foundation and would no longer be what this
7	great artist wanted, something for her legacy for future
8	people to understand her work and what she did, is
9	exactly the kind of risk that Your Honor should say
10	allows special interest standing and allows this case to
11	go forward.
12	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me hear from
13	Ms. Neuner.
14	MS. NEUNER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Thank
15	you, very much for having us here. We appreciate the
16	time you're giving to us. I will be laser focused on
17	standing.
18	Very briefly, I would like to acknowledge our
19	clients who are attending. We have the Chair, Lise
20	Motherwell, who you may see. We also have Clifford Ross,
21	who is a director and the president of the foundation.
22	We also have Michael Hecht, who was one of the original
23	members of the board going back 40 years named by Ms.
24	Frankenthaler and we have Elizabeth Smith, who is the
25	executive director.
	LD

1	Fioteeutings
1	Your Honor, let me go to the items that you were
2	addressing with Ms. Kaplan. You are 100 percent right
3	that three documents conclusively establish that Mr.
4	Iseman does not have standing. It is the bylaw; it is
5	the notice of the meeting; and, it is the minutes of the
б	meeting.
7	So, the bylaws, just as you were going through
8	with Ms. Kaplan, established the procedure. They were,
9	in fact, followed to a T. We have the e-mail from Ms.
10	Motherwell sorry. Let me back that up Dr.
11	Motherwell establishing that there was notice on Monday,
12	May 15th of 2023 to the full board that there would be an
13	annual meeting.
14	That is the only e-mail that I suggest needs to
15	be recognized and, your Honor, under the First Department
16	precedent, which continues to grow, even since we
17	submitted our briefs. If the e-mail is essentially
18	undeniable, meant it's recognized as documentary evidence
19	under 3211(a) and here we have not only an undeniable
20	sending of it but we actually have Mr. Iseman alleging
21	that he received it. That's in paragraph 12 of the
22	amended complaint.
23	So, you can just work from the face of the
24	complaint there and but, as you pointed out, he also

complaint there and-- but, as you pointed out, he also responded and on the same day that he responded, he

25

Proceedings 1 himself raised, in the re line, which was interesting, 2 his request for the agenda, and I'll give the exact words because you rightly focused in on this. 3 Same day, Monday, May 15th. Subject line: 4 "Please, is there an agenda for the Friday meeting and 5 6 annual meeting? Please." He then received, from the foundation employees, 7 the agenda and you see that in our Exhibit G that was 8 from Mr. Benson, the next day, May 16th, and the agenda, 9 as you rightly noted, states that the annual meeting 10 11 actions would take place, which includes the election of 12 the directors and officers, and then you have the day 13 before, Thursday the 18th, Dr. Motherwell sending an 14 e-mail on behalf of her, Mr. Ross, and Mr. Hecht, a note of advance consideration saying "we will not be 15 16 re-electing you. We will not be supporting your 17 renomination."

You see she tries to offer a gracious exit. It's Mr. Iseman's prerogative not to take that but they gave advance notice. In the bylaws, I think you can see that even for a special meeting, there only needs to be two day's notice.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, having notice on a Monday of a meeting on a Friday, certainly surpasses the time and, as you went through with Ms. Kaplan, there are provisions that

LD

_	Proceedings
1	control how the notice is to be given. The notice can be
2	given by e-mail, that is clear as well.
3	Then, as to the acceptance by Mr. Iseman, you
4	can see that in the bylaws under Section 7, you were
5	parsing this language, if a director attends the meeting
6	without protesting prior thereto, which he didn't do, or
7	as commencement, which he didn't do, the lack of notice
8	to him or her, then the notice is deemed fine.
9	What was very interesting, because I was
10	listening closing to what Ms. Kaplan was saying that
11	Mr. Iseman deliberately did not show up on time. That
12	was an interesting concession. So now we know he
13	deliberately did not show up on
14	MS. KAPLAN: Clarify. I never said that. Your
15	Honor asked that question. I did not say that and there
16	is no record of that. That was
17	THE COURT: It doesn't even matter. It doesn't
18	matter. He wasn't there.
19	MS. NEUNER: Okay.
20	THE COURT: He came. He voted.
21	MS. NEUNER: Yes and not only did he vote, your
22	Honor, but when you see the minutes, he actually was
23	trying to have the board see things his way. He put up a
24	contrary voting proposal. Right? So, when it comes down
25	to it and when he joins, he put up a resolution to elect
	LD

1 all of the foundation's current directors to serve for an 2 additional one-year term; and, you see that the at the top of page 3 of the minutes on the second paragraph. 3 4 So, he was participating in the annual meeting 5 as an annual meeting. As you point out, he proceeded to Three different votes were taken and Dr. 6 vote. Motherwell called for the votes. First, Michael Hecht. 7 8 Mr. Iseman votes yes. Second, Clifford Ross. Mr. Iseman Then Dr. Motherwell. Mr. Iseman votes yes. 9 votes, yes. 10 He's participating in the annual meeting. 11 So, the bylaws are being followed really to a T 12 and the result is that Mr. Iseman nominates himself. 13 There is no second. They told him before they wouldn't 14 support a nomination. There is no second. There is no He's not reelected. There's no cause that has to 15 vote. 16 be shown for not reelecting. 17 And one point I'm going to circle back to and 18 help you out. You were, I think, your Honor, looking at 19 Article 5 --20 THE COURT: I was mistaken. I will state very 21 clearly I was mistaken. I do see that it's a different section but the removal of the directors have to be for 22 23 There's a statute to that effect, too. cause. Yes, I 24 was in the wrong section. 25 MS. NEUNER: No sweat.

LD

	Proceedings
1	THE COURT: What I want to know is, what if
2	there is some type of nefarious purpose in terms of he is
3	upset about the trajectory, about how the foundation is
4	going, and they want him out. They want him out. They
5	were very explicit in terms of we are not we don't
6	want you to be a director.
7	What if there is that agenda there to not have
8	him be a director?
9	MS. NEUNER: There is no invented standing by
10	virtue of a conspiracy to not reelect. That is number
11	one. What he said is right. The standing for nonprofit
12	is statutory, unless you get the special interest
13	exception. The special interest exception does not apply
14	to Mr. Iseman.
15	I'll go back to that. If you have concerns like
16	what if I have concerns about the way the foundation is
17	being run or Mr. Iseman not being on, the answer is New
18	York Attorney General. The New York Attorney General is
19	not prohibited from taking action.
20	Ms. Kaplan helped us all by giving us on Friday
21	a copy of the correspondence with the New York AG
22	Charities Bureau. So, that is actually very helpful.
23	Mr. Iseman is fully capable and ably represented by two
24	different counsel in presenting their concerns to the New
25	York Charities Bureau.
	LD

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

What actually was useful to see was the New York Charities Bureau responded right away. Now, the New York Charities Bureau did not say the AG is unable to proceed here.

You talked about the case where that was so on Consumer Union where the AG was boxed out by virtue of the legislation. So, that is not the situation here. The AG's response was purely timely. It said we are aware that there is a pending lawsuit.

In fact, it was mentioned in Mr. Iseman's presenting letter to the AG. So, we're not going to parallel track this. To her credit, Ms. Kaplan says the AG was not taking action at this time. So, we would submit, as you know, that Mr. Iseman does not have standing and so, therefore, this Court doesn't have jurisdiction and, therefore, this litigation would go away.

That doesn't mean Mr. Iseman is silenced. He can go back to the AG's office and say my litigation is no longer in existence. I would like you to look at these issues and for the foundation's part, we're happy to work with the AG. We feel really confident and proud of the work that has been done.

I won't go into the documentary evidence showing that all of the transactions that have been focused on --

	Proceedings
1	THE COURT: I am not touching the merits of this
2	case at this point. This is not failure to state a cause
3	of action, motion to dismiss, to be sure, and, again, I'm
4	focused solely on standing.
5	MS. NEUNER: Perfect. So, those merits can all
6	be presented to the New York Attorney General Charities
7	Bureau. That is where it should have started to begin
8	with but, here, we are with you and we're happy to be
9	with you, but the question is, is this case properly
10	before you.
11	I would submit no, your Honor. It's just not
12	because it's not a situation where Mr. Iseman is a
13	current director, and the New York statute 720 is very
14	clear about who has the standing. So, he's not a current
15	director or officer. So, he doesn't have the capacity to
16	sue under 720.
17	THE COURT: What about the special interest
18	standing?
19	MS. NEUNER: Perfect. Let's do that. When you
20	look at the cases, and I think you were already lively to
21	this. There's limits, and the most salient point you
22	said is this is not a KeySpan situation nor is there a
23	private Attorney General right of action.
24	So, the special interest cases, and there are
25	not many of them, provide for standing where the claimant
	LD

Proceedings

has an interest in the actual proceeds. When you go through the cases, the person was a designated beneficiary or the person had a preference in the waterfall of distributions. That is the Trustco Bank Lally case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

In Smithers, it was a special standing for a donor who was policing the execution of the gift. The donor had died but the wife was in good standing and in fact she was given standing by another court in order to pursue that cause of action.

Consumers Union is a case of its own because of the interaction between the Attorney General and the legislature, and the foundation, also, was not going to be taking action because of that legislation which granted them immunity.

The Federation For World Piece, that is a decent case where they're given standing across the board to ousted directors and so forth. Now, Mr. Iseman is not an ousted director. He's a director that wasn't reelected. He wasn't removed, so there is no cause here.

Dr. Motherwell was right. The board had the capacity to elect or not elect for any reason at all. As you saw in the documentary evidence, there was reason and it was Mr. Iseman's behavior and conduct that was not becoming of a board member, and I'll leave it there and

38

LD

	Proceedings
1	not take a step further but he knows that.
2	THE COURT: To be clear, I don't care. As in,
3	the issue is is either he was properly not reelected or
4	not. I don't see any issue in terms of compliance with
5	the bylaws here. There was no election of directors in
6	April.
7	There was notice that there was going to be an
8	annual meeting, and the documentary evidence establishes
9	notice, to be clear, and his e-mail establishes that he
10	did, in fact, have notice. He participated in the
11	meeting, his directorship was subject to reelection at
12	the next meeting.
13	He was not reelected; and, in terms of the
14	special interest doctrine, I mean, first of all, I looked
15	to see who the defendants are in this case too. The
16	defendants, I think one of them is the nephew. One of
17	them was her personal accountant, perhaps. One of them
18	was her stepdaughter. I'm going to stop there.
19	I don't know if I'm missing anyone but they all
20	had a close connection, and a close relationship with her
21	and I looked at the cases involving the special interest
22	standing, and I worry about any expansion to include this
23	close relationship that would either swallow the statute
24	or, also, it doesn't fit into the exceptions that the
25	common law has already recognized.
	LD

Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, a party to a contract, someone who is

entitled to distributions from the charitable fund. Situations where the Attorney General is unavailable. I taken into account, too, Mr. Iseman was on the board, I think, since 1994.

I mean, he has standing to raise issues for a very, very long time, and this doesn't come up until he's not reelected and, perhaps, again, that is exactly the significance. The Attorney General would be the correct party to look into what's going on with the foundation and is everything on the up and up; but, the point is, the statute is clear in terms of no standing.

The special interest standing doctrine doesn't allow for relationships to take precedent. On that basis, I do finds that the documentary evidence utterly defeats the first cause of action, and the derivative claims and the remaining claims, there is no standing to assert them.

This determination, to be clear, is in no way on the merits of anything involving the actual foundation; and, transcript of this proceeding is to be e-filed by the movants within 45 days.

This renders motion sequence motion sequence number 2 moot in terms of conduction or conduct and investigations, and I think it also resolves motion

Proceedings sequence number 3 as well. 1 2 I thank you for the spirited argument, and I 3 enjoyed all of your papers. I wish you well. Have a good day. 4 MS. KAPLAN: Thank you. 5 б MS. NEUNER: Thank you. 7 * * * 8 Certified to be a true and accurate transcript of the 9 above matter . M De Crescenzo 10 11 12 Lisa M. De Crescenzo 13 Official Court Reporter 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 10 [1] - 1:11 100 [1] - 31:2 10017 [1] - 3:10 10065 [1] - 3:18 12 [1] - 31:21	5 5 _[2] - 7:21, 34:19 50 _[1] - 5:23 54 _[1] - 1:1	advising - 20:10 affidavit _[6] - 14:13, 21:21, 21:24, 21:25, 22:1, 23:1	35:13 appointed [1] - 5:20 appointment [1] - 7:13	based [4] - 4:11, 21:12, 23:17, 25:7 basis [2] - 11:7, 40:15
10 [1] - 1:11 100 [1] - 31:2 10017 [1] - 3:10 10065 [1] - 3:18	5 _[2] - 7:21, 34:19 50 _[1] - 5:23	affidavit [6] - 14:13, 21:21, 21:24, 21:25, 22:1, 23:1	appointment [1] -	21:12, 23:17, 25:7 basis [2] - 11:7,
100 [1] - 31:2 10017 [1] - 3:10 10065 [1] - 3:18	50 [1] - 5:23	21:21, 21:24, 21:25, 22:1, 23:1	appointment [1] -	basis [2] - 11:7,
100 [1] - 31:2 10017 [1] - 3:10 10065 [1] - 3:18	50 [1] - 5:23	22:1, 23:1		
100 [1] - 31:2 10017 [1] - 3:10 10065 [1] - 3:18	50 [1] - 5:23	,	7.15	40.15
10017 [1] - 3:10 10065 [1] - 3:18			appreciate [2] -	becoming [1] - 38:25
10065 [1] - 3:18	54 11 - 111	affidavits [1] - 23:12	•••	- · · ·
••	56th [2] - 1:18, 3:3	affirmed [1] - 4:23	18:21, 30:15	begin [1] - 37:7
	Jun [2] - 1.10, 3.3	affixes [1] - 20:6	appropriate [6] -	beginning [5] - 6:20,
	G	- afternoon [4] - 3:22,	19:24, 20:19, 22:2,	8:19, 11:13, 15:11,
129 [1] - 25:5	6	3:23, 10:21, 30:14	22:18, 22:23, 25:13	22:10
156 [2] - 1:18, 3:3		AG [8] - 26:20,	April [9] - 6:23, 7:3,	behalf [2] - 3:20,
15th [2] - 31:12, 32:4	6 [1] - 6:22	27:13, 35:21, 36:3,	8:6, 18:3, 18:7, 19:23,	32:14
16th [1] - 32:9	60 [1] - 1:10	36:6, 36:11, 36:13,	20:1, 39:6	behavior [1] - 38:24
18th [1] - 32:13	62nd [2] - 2:4, 3:17	36:22	argue [1] - 24:2	behind [2] - 16:23,
1994 [1] - 40:5	655552/23 [1] - 1:3	AG's [2] - 36:8, 36:19	arguing [3] - 3:5,	18:8
	-	against [1] - 1:5	3:11, 3:12	being [5] - 7:20,
2	7	agenda [15] - 8:12,	argument [6] - 3:5,	25:3, 34:11, 35:17
	- 7	9:2, 9:4, 11:5, 12:22,	6:8, 6:9, 10:8, 18:23,	believe [5] - 13:20,
		15:14, 16:6, 17:2,	41:2	13:25, 15:21, 20:18,
2 [5] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:21,	7 [1] - 33:4	32:2, 32:5, 32:8, 32:9,	arguments [1] - 4:25	28:12
8:23, 40:24	720 [3] - 23:18,	35:7	art [1] - 29:10	believed [1] - 30:2
20 [3] - 24:24, 25:2,		ago [1] - 17:14	article [1] - 15:4	beneficiaries [1] -
28:1	37:13, 37:16	agreed [1] - 6:13	Article [5] - 5:15,	26:6
2005 [1] - 23:24	•	ahead [1] - 6:10	6:21, 7:8, 7:21, 34:19	beneficiary [2] -
2018 [2] - 5:24, 6:3	A			• • •
2023 [4] - 6:23, 8:22,		- Alco [3] - 26:3,	artist [1] - 30:7	29:18, 38:3
19:24, 31:12	A3d [1] - 25:5	26:20, 27:2	artwork [2] - 14:10,	Benson [1] - 32:9
2024 [1] - 1:11	ably [1] - 35:23	alleging [1] - 31:20	18:19	best [2] - 13:20,
20th [1] - 29:10	• • • •	allow [1] - 40:14	aside [1] - 18:23	16:14
20th-Century [1] -	accept [2] - 6:7, 6:8	allows [2] - 30:10	assert [1] - 40:18	better [1] - 29:22
29:10	acceptance [1] -	alone [1] - 24:21	assuming [1] - 23:16	between [3] - 11:9,
21 [1] - 6:23	33:3	along [1] - 23:8	attempt [2] - 23:10,	19:23, 38:12
	accommodate [1] -	although [1] - 6:1	25:17	birth [1] - 28:21
234 [1] - 25:5	30:1	altogether [2] - 12:2,	attend [1] - 13:7	bit [1] - 28:25
241/42 [1] - 25:10	accordance [2] -	25:1	attending [1] - 30:19	blackletter [1] - 21:4
244 [1] - 25:5	4:16, 4:18	amend [1] - 23:11	attends [1] - 33:5	board [31] - 5:17,
26 [1] - 5:24	account [2] - 26:14,	amended [3] - 5:24,	attorney [5] - 20:9,	5:20, 6:15, 6:24, 7:11,
28 [2] - 5:22, 6:5	40:4	6:1, 31:22	20:10, 20:14, 20:20,	7:24, 8:21, 8:22, 9:23,
2:00 [1] - 13:12	accountant [1] -	annual [60] - 6:19,	20:21	10:14, 10:18, 11:10,
	_ 39:17	6:25, 7:10, 7:13, 7:18,	Attorney [10] - 26:9,	12:1, 12:8, 15:8, 15:9,
3	accurate [1] - 41:9	7:21, 7:24, 8:5, 8:9,	26:15, 26:16, 35:18,	15:16, 15:17, 17:20,
	acknowledge [1] -	8:11, 8:16, 8:22, 9:1,	37:6, 37:23, 38:12,	22:7, 24:23, 26:10,
	30:18	9:2, 9:4, 9:6, 9:10,	40:3, 40:9	28:1, 29:15, 30:23,
3 [5] - 5:15, 6:21, 7:8,	acknowledged [1] -	9:14, 9:18, 10:7, 10:9,	ATTORNEYS [3] -	31:12, 33:23, 38:17,
34:3, 41:1	8:8		1:18, 1:22, 2:4	38:21, 38:25, 40:4
31 [2] - 2:4, 3:17	acknowledging [1] -	10:11, 10:17, 11:1,		bord [1] - 13:4
3211 [1] - 21:19	15:13	11:4, 11:9, 11:15,	aunt [2] - 24:20,	
3211(a [1] - 31:19	acting [1] - 20:15	12:9, 12:13, 12:21,	29:15	both [4] - 3:8, 25:9,
3211(a)1 [10] - 4:21,	action [9] - 26:16,	12:22, 12:23, 13:6,	aunt's [4] - 14:9,	28:2, 29:15
4:23, 11:18, 11:22,	27:17, 35:19, 36:13,	13:18, 14:4, 15:7,	27:9, 29:16, 29:17	boxed [1] - 36:6
14:14, 14:17, 19:13,		15:10, 15:12, 15:13,	authority [1] - 23:5	briefly [1] - 30:18
21:4, 22:2, 22:24	37:3, 37:23, 38:10,	15:25, 16:5, 16:7,	authorized [1] -	briefs [1] - 31:17
	38:14, 40:16	16:21, 17:1, 17:15,	18:25	bring [2] - 14:11,
4	actions [1] - 32:11	19:17, 19:18, 22:13,	available [1] - 18:17	26:16
•	actual [3] - 25:8,	22:19, 31:13, 32:6,	Avenue [2] - 1:22,	broad [1] - 27:21
	38:1, 40:20	32:10, 34:4, 34:5,	3:9	Bureau [5] - 35:22,
4 [1] - 5:15	actually [9] - 5:6,	34:10, 39:8	aware [5] - 4:21,	35:25, 36:2, 36:3,
40 [1] - 30:23	13:2, 21:17, 27:13,	annually [3] - 7:22,	4:25, 9:20, 28:15,	37:7
425 [2] - 1:22, 3:9	28:13, 31:20, 33:22,	7:24, 8:4	36:9	but [44] - 4:24, 5:9,
45 [1] - 40:22	35:22, 36:1	answer [1] - 35:17		5:10, 5:13, 6:5, 7:4,
48-hour [2] - 16:10,	additional [1] - 34:2	Appeals [7] - 4:22,	В	7:21, 8:6, 8:8, 8:18,
•• •	address [2] - 3:3, 3:9	23:23, 24:4, 25:4,		8:25, 9:3, 9:5, 9:8,
16:20	addressing [1] - 31:2	25:20, 26:2, 28:2		9:24, 10:1, 10:16,
16:20 4:51 [2] - 10:4, 13:17			1	·····, ····, ····,
16:20 4:51 [2] - 10:4, 13:17			Bank [1] - 38:4	10.22 14.14 14.21
	advance [7] - 9:24,	Appellate [1] - 4:22	Bank [1] - 38:4 Bartlett [1] - 3:8	10:22, 14:14, 14:21, 15:4, 15:11, 15:17
				10:22, 14:14, 14:21, 15:4, 15:11, 15:17, 18:15, 19:8, 22:6,

23:1, 23:13, 23:14, 24:3, 24:11, 27:19, 29:24, 31:20, 31:24, 32:19, 33:22, 34:22, 37:8, 37:9, 38:8, 39:1, 39:19, 40:11 **BY** [1] - 2:5 by [31] - 1:19, 1:23, 5:12, 5:16, 5:20, 6:21, 7:11, 7:24, 8:5, 11:20, 13:4, 14:1, 14:23, 15:8, 15:9, 17:11, 19:5, 19:22, 20:20, 21:15, 30:23, 33:2, 33:3, 35:9, 35:20, 35:23, 36:6, 38:9, 40:21 bylaw [1] - 31:4 bylaws [33] - 4:11, 4:12, 4:16, 5:3, 5:5, 5:6, 5:11, 5:19, 5:22, 5:24, 6:18, 7:5, 7:8, 13:3, 15:7, 15:19, 15:22, 16:12, 18:12, 18:24, 18:25, 19:24, 21:2. 21:9. 21:11. 23:17, 31:7, 32:20, 33:4, 34:11, 39:5

С

C [2] - 1:16, 2:1 call [2] - 10:19, 12:8 called [2] - 23:7, 34:7 came [6] - 9:9, 16:19, 17:12, 17:13, 18:6, 33:20 can [26] - 4:14, 4:25, 5:7, 5:12, 5:13, 6:16, 7:7, 9:2, 10:8, 11:4, 11:23, 13:14, 14:13, 14:19, 15:23, 18:2, 20:6, 22:19, 23:3, 23:8, 31:23, 32:20, 33:1, 33:4, 36:19, 37:5 can't [2] - 21:11, 24:9 capable [2] - 24:17, 35:23 capacity [2] - 37:15, 38:22 care [2] - 12:19, 39:2 cared [1] - 16:13 career [2] - 28:19, 29:8 cares [2] - 27:18, 27:22 case [28] - 4:21, 14:15, 14:17, 15:20, 19:12, 20:16, 23:7, 23:24, 25:4, 25:7, 26:3, 26:9, 26:12,

26:21, 27:8, 27:13, 28:4, 29:6, 29:11, 29:23, 30:10, 36:5, 37:2, 37:9, 38:5, 38:11, 38:17, 39:15 cases [10] - 18:13, 24:1, 25:21, 26:2, 28:2, 28:4, 37:20, 37:24, 38:2, 39:21 cast [1] - 24:17 cause [15] - 5:4, 5:7, 5:9, 5:13, 5:16, 5:21, 6:7, 6:17, 28:16, 34:15, 34:23, 37:2, 38:10, 38:20, 40:16 centennial [1] -28:21 Centre [1] - 1:10 Century [1] - 29:10 certainly [5] - 10:24, 10:25, 21:10, 23:14, 32:24 Certified [1] - 41:9 Chair [1] - 30:19 challenges [1] -25:17 change [1] - 12:14 changed [1] - 11:2 charitable [8] - 24:1, 24:5. 24:9. 25:16. 26:4, 26:25, 28:3, 40:2 Charities [5] - 35:22, 35:25, 36:2, 36:3, 37:6 chose [1] - 24:22 Christopher [1] - 3:4 CHRISTOPHER [1] -1:20 circle [1] - 34:17 circumstances [1] -29:22 cite [1] - 7:7 cited [1] - 18:13 City [1] - 3:18 CIVIL [1] - 1:1 claim [2] - 4:15, 6:15 claimant [1] - 37:25 claims [2] - 40:17 clarify [1] - 33:14 clear [12] - 7:18, 9:12, 17:24, 22:4, 22:23, 24:4, 33:2, 37:14, 39:2, 39:9, 40:12, 40:19 clearer [1] - 11:24 clearly [3] - 11:23, 18:15, 34:21 clerked [1] - 30:2 client [7] - 8:8, 8:11, 8:12, 8:15, 8:17, 14:12, 15:20 clients [2] - 20:15,

30:19 Clifford [2] - 30:20, 34:8 CLIFFORD [1] - 1:6 close [6] - 24:20, 25:16, 25:17, 39:20, 39:23 closing [3] - 28:2, 28:3, 33:10 co [1] - 24:22 co-executor [1] -24:22 cognizable [1] -24:16 come [3] - 12:7, 17:19, 40:7 comes [2] - 14:15, 33:24 commencement [2] - 13:8, 33:7 common [7] - 23:12, 23:22, 25:15, 25:24, 29:24, 30:3, 39:25 common-law [2] -23:22, 25:15 complaint [3] -23:11, 31:22, 31:24 completely [3] -11:18, 19:13, 21:25 compliance [1] -39:4 concern [3] - 20:18, 29:4, 29:20 concerning [1] -28:22 concerns [5] - 13:21, 13:22, 35:15, 35:16, 35:24 concession [1] -33:12 conclude [1] - 14:25 conclusively [1] -31:3 condo [1] - 17:20 conduct [2] - 38:24, 40:24 conduction [1] -40:24 conference [1] - 8:24 confident [1] - 36:22 confirm [3] - 28:17, 29:4, 29:5 conflict [1] - 20:13 connection [2] -28:15, 39:20 connections [1] -25:16 consider [1] - 23:9 consideration [1] -32:15 considering [2] -24:14, 26:18 consistent [7] - 9:18, LD

15:19, 15:21, 21:1, 21:2, 27:11 conspiracy [2] -20:25, 35:10 conspired [1] - 16:25 conspireing [1] -16:23 consult [1] - 16:11 Consumer [3] -24:12, 26:8, 36:6 consumer [1] - 26:4 Consumer's [1] -26:13 consumers [1] -38:11 Consumers [2] -23:24, 25:23 context [2] - 21:19, 24:3 continue [2] - 7:7, 9:22 continues [1] - 31:16 contract [2] - 21:10, 40:1 contractor [1] - 21:8 contrary [1] - 33:24 control [1] - 33:1 conversation [1] -22:20 convert [1] - 25:17 converted [1] - 26:7 convinced [2] -21:13, 23:16 copy [1] - 35:21 corporation [1] -7:23 Corporation [1] -23:18 corporation's [1] -6:20 correct [3] - 13:25, 14:7, 40:9 correspond [1] -21:17 correspondence [1] - 35:21 could [9] - 5:3, 11:23, 12:5, 21:16, 23:14, 26:12, 26:15, 26:17, 30:5 couldn't [2] - 12:6, 23:4 counsel [2] - 28:17, 35:24 counseled [1] -20:20 COUNTY [1] - 1:1 couple [1] - 10:19 course [3] - 10:22, 24:11, 24:15 Court [13] - 4:22, 23:23, 24:4, 25:4,

25:20, 25:24, 26:2, 26:5, 26:13, 26:23, 28:2, 36:15, 41:13 **COURT** [48] - 1:1, 2:24, 3:22, 3:24, 4:10, 5:5, 5:10, 5:18, 5:25, 6:4, 6:13, 7:2, 7:9, 8:3, 8:25, 9:24, 10:6, 10:14, 11:3, 12:12, 12:16, 12:18, 15:6, 16:4, 16:24, 18:22, 19:25, 20:8, 20:23, 21:9, 21:13, 22:4, 23:7, 24:6, 24:9, 25:11, 26:8, 27:2, 27:15, 27:17, 30:12, 33:17, 33:20, 34:20, 35:1, 37:1, 37:17, 39:2 court [1] - 38:9 Court's [1] - 25:7 courts [1] - 14:18 Courts [1] - 25:14 crafty [3] - 12:7, 17:12 create [1] - 20:18 credit [1] - 36:12 CRESCENZO [1] -2:23 Crescenzo [1] -41:12 curious [1] - 5:11 current [3] - 34:1, 37:13. 37:14 currently [1] - 26:18 cuts [1] - 28:9 D date [2] - 6:2, 7:1 dated [1] - 5:24 dates [1] - 20:3 David [1] - 3:19 DAVID [1] - 2:6 day [9] - 10:4, 10:20, 10:21, 13:12, 31:25, 32:4, 32:9, 32:12, 41:4 day's [1] - 32:22 days [4] - 9:12, 11:17, 22:17, 40:22 DC [1] - 25:4 De [1] - 41:12

DE [1] - 2:23 death [1] - 7:15 decent [1] - 38:16 decided [2] - 11:14, 15:25 deciding [1] - 21:5 decision [2] - 24:4, 25:9 decisive [1] - 25:25

decrease [1] - 15:16 deed [1] - 21:7 deemed [2] - 13:3, 33:8 defeats [1] - 40:16 defective [1] - 23:11 DEFENDANT [2] -1:22, 2:4 defendants [4] -11:20, 13:14, 39:15, 39:16 Defendants [1] - 1:8 defending [2] -26:22, 28:5 deliberately [4] -22:11, 29:25, 33:11, 33:13 delivered [1] - 13:17 **DENTZIN** [1] - 2:5 Department [1] -31:15 depositions [1] -14:12 derivative [1] - 40:16 designated [1] - 38:2 determination [2] -19:22, 40:19 developed [1] -23:22 deviation [1] - 18:24 did [22] - 5:11, 6:25, 11:17, 12:24, 13:4, 13:5, 14:7, 14:24, 15:18, 16:6, 16:11, 18:21, 20:1, 22:2, 23:1, 30:8, 33:11, 33:13, 33:15, 33:21, 36:3, 39:10 didn't [9] - 8:10, 10:9, 11:13, 18:6, 19:11, 21:24, 26:20, 33:6, 33:7 died [1] - 38:8 difference [2] - 11:8, 28:6 different [4] - 27:25, 34:6, 34:21, 35:24 difficult [1] - 17:9 director [16] - 5:4, 5:16, 7:12, 7:14, 7:17, 18:13, 23:20, 30:21, 30:25, 33:5, 35:6, 35:8, 37:13, 37:15, 38:19 directors [30] - 3:20. 5.17 5.20 7.3 7.6 7:10, 7:11, 7:24, 8:2, 8:4, 8:5, 8:13, 9:7, 12:25, 14:2, 15:8, 15:10, 15:15, 16:12, 17:2, 18:13, 22:9, 25:10, 26:18, 32:12, 34:1, 34:22, 38:18,

39:5 directorship [1] -39:11 disability [1] - 26:11 disappear [1] - 30:5 discovery [10] -11:16, 11:21, 13:1, 13:25, 14:10, 14:25, 17:7, 17:24, 18:10, 19:10 discuss [2] - 4:14, 16:14 discussions [1] -13:23 dismiss [4] - 3:12, 4:2. 11:22. 37:3 dismissal [1] - 4:23 dispute [1] - 24:17 distributed [1] - 29:2 distribution [1] -27:3 distributions [3] -27:4, 38:4, 40:2 Division [1] - 4:22 **do** [18] - 4:3, 11:22, 15:22, 16:23, 17:10, 17:23, 19:15, 21:11, 22:19, 23:12, 25:13, 27:9, 29:17, 33:6, 33:7, 34:21, 37:19, 40:15 docket [2] - 5:22, 6:5 doctrine [6] - 18:16, 23:22, 23:24, 24:14, 39:14, 40:13 Document [1] - 5:23 documentary [8] -4:12, 22:5, 23:10, 31:18, 36:24, 38:23, 39:8, 40:15 documents [9] -4:20, 14:12, 14:22, 17:25, 18:2, 18:10, 21:20, 31:3 does [6] - 6:4, 15:20, 27:5, 31:4, 35:13, 36:14 doesn't [21] - 4:14, 6:6, 6:13, 12:14, 12:18, 12:19, 13:19, 15:15, 17:9, 18:20, 22:8, 28:7, 28:8, 33:17, 36:15, 36:18, 37:15, 39:24, 40:7, 40:13 **doing** [7] - 3:24, 8:11, 13:16, 27:14, 28:10, 29:16 don't [20] - 4:7, 5:14, 6:11, 10:7, 11:22, 12:19, 12:25, 13:1, 15:21, 17:23, 18:23, 19:7, 20:4, 21:17,

22:1, 27:2(5:5, 39:2, 39:4, 39:19 done [4] - 9:9, 20:25, 23:14, 36:23 donor [3] - 12:5, 38:7, 38:8 Dontzin [2] - 3:15, 3:17 **DONTZIN** [2] - 2:3, 3:15 down [4] - 10:3, 28:3, 33:24 Dr [5] - 31:10, 32:13, 34:6, 34:9, 38:21 duties [1] - 20:15 Ε **e** [28] - 3:6, 4:13, 9:1, 9:3. 9:11. 9:16. 9:22. 10:20, 11:3, 11:5, 12:12, 13:15, 16:19, 16:21, 17:7, 21:12, 21:14, 21:15, 21:21, 31:9, 31:14, 31:17, 32:14, 33:2, 39:9, 40:21 **E** [6] - 1:12, 1:16, 2:1 e-filed [1] - 40:21 e-mail [18] - 4:13, 9:1, 9:3, 9:11, 9:22, 11:3, 11:5, 12:12, 13:15, 16:21, 17:7, 31:9, 31:14, 31:17, 32:14, 33:2, 39:9 e-mails [7] - 9:16, 10:20, 16:19, 21:12, 21:14, 21:15, 21:21 each [1] - 7:12 earlier [3] - 5:25. 7:15, 29:21 early [1] - 28:18 East [2] - 2:4, 3:17 effect [2] - 23:13, 34:23 either [5] - 5:21, 8:15, 24:13, 39:3, 39:23 elect [3] - 33:25, 38:22 elected [7] - 5:19, 7:10, 7:22, 7:24, 8:4, 17:3 electing [2] - 8:17, 32:16 election [11] - 7:3, 7:6, 7:13, 16:22, 19:1, 19:3, 19:5, 19:7, 22:15, 32:11, 39:5 elections [4] - 19:2, 19:15, 19:17, 20:1 Elizabeth [1] - 30:24 LD

employees [1] - 32:7 employer [1] - 26:5 end [2] - 15:3, 19:23 engage [1] - 22:20 enjoyed [1] - 41:3 enough [2] - 14:21, 29:25 entered [2] - 9:11, 10:12 entire [1] - 29:9 entities [1] - 28:4 entitled [3] - 14:10, 19:14, 40:2 entitlement [2] -27:4, 27:5 entity [2] - 26:1, 26:25 **ESQ** [7] - 1:19, 1:20, 1:23, 1:24, 2:5, 2:6, 2:6 essentially [1] -31:17 establish [2] - 17:21, 31:3 established [2] -21:15, 31:8 establishes [4] -18:11, 18:15, 39:8, 39:9 establishing [2] -18:14, 31:11 estate [1] - 24:23 even [18] - 4:13, 6:6, 9:5, 10:1, 10:7, 12:9, 12:18, 12:19, 13:3, 16:22, 17:24, 19:5, 19:6, 31:16, 32:21, 33:17 event [2] - 15:18, 24:5 ever [4] - 16:3, 19:17, 19:19, 27:20 every [3] - 7:19, 19:2, 20:24 everyone [2] - 3:22, 18:20 everything [1] -40:11 evidence [11] - 4:12, 4:20, 11:15, 16:1, 22:6, 23:10, 31:18, 36:24, 38:23, 39:8, 40:15 exact [3] - 9:5, 27:6, 32:2 exactly [10] - 9:3, 12:5, 15:3, 15:4, 19:10, 19:12, 26:17, 30:9, 40:8 example [3] - 18:1, 21:17, 25:3 exception [4] -27:19, 27:21, 35:13

exceptions [1] -39:24 exchanges [1] -11:19 excluded [1] - 11:13 excuse [2] - 19:9, 24:8 executing [1] - 21:8 execution [1] - 38:7 executive [1] - 30:25 executor [2] - 24:22, 27:25 Exhibit [1] - 32:8 exist [1] - 17:10 existence [1] - 36:20 exists [1] - 21:3 exit [1] - 32:18 expansion [1] -39:22 expansive [1] -25:15 expiration [1] - 7:16 explicit [1] - 35:5 explicitly [1] - 6:18 extent [1] - 21:16 F

F^[1] - 1:12 face [1] - 31:23 fact [10] - 6:23, 8:13, 12:13, 14:16, 20:11, 29:16, 31:9, 36:10, 38:9, 39:10 factors [2] - 24:15, 27:25 facts [2] - 19:21, 24:12 failure [1] - 37:2 fair [1] - 19:21 family [1] - 24:21 Family [1] - 25:4 Federation [2] -25:5, 38:16 feel [1] - 36:22 fellow [1] - 16:12 felt [1] - 29:1 filed [2] - 20:17, 40:21 financial [4] - 8:7, 9:18, 12:9, 19:19 find [7] - 14:20, 15:18, 17:7, 18:24, 19:14, 20:14, 23:4 finds [1] - 40:15 fine [1] - 33:8 firm [2] - 3:2, 3:16 First [1] - 31:15 first [17] - 4:17, 6:18, 6:19, 6:24, 8:7, 9:13, 9:25, 10:25, 12:3, 14:2, 15:10, 15:23,

16:25, 17:13, 34:7, 39:14, 40:16 fiscal [4] - 6:20, 6:24, 15:11, 15:24 fit [1] - 39:24 five [1] - 22:17 fix [2] - 6:25, 13:4 fixed [4] - 6:21, 13:4, 15:8, 15:9	32:7, 35:3, 35:16, 38:13, 40:10, 40:20 Foundation [2] - 3:11, 27:16 FOUNDATION [1] - 1:7	9:22, 12:1, :12, 15:2, 15:15, 17:9, 17:23, 18:21, 19:10, 20:25, 24:25, 25:22,	happening [2] - 22:16, 25:21 happy [3] - 22:25, 26:04, 27:8	29:22 immunity [1] - 38:15 important [2] - 29:8,
fiscal [4] - 6:20, 6:24, 15:11, 15:24 fit [1] - 39:24 five [1] - 22:17 fix [2] - 6:25, 13:4 fixed [4] - 6:21, 13:4, 15:8, 15:9	Foundation [2] - 3:11, 27:16 FOUNDATION [1] -	17:23, 18:21, 19:10, 20:25, 24:25, 25:22,	happy [3] - 22:25,	-
15:11, 15:24 fit [1] - 39:24 five [1] - 22:17 fix [2] - 6:25, 13:4 fixed [4] - 6:21, 13:4, 15:8, 15:9	3:11, 27:16 FOUNDATION [1] -	20:25, 24:25, 25:22,		important [2] - 29:8,
fit [1] - 39:24 five [1] - 22:17 fix [2] - 6:25, 13:4 fixed [4] - 6:21, 13:4, 15:8, 15:9	FOUNDATION [1] -		26.24 27.0	
five [1] - 22:17 fix [2] - 6:25, 13:4 fixed [4] - 6:21, 13:4, 15:8, 15:9			36:21, 37:8	29:9
fix [2] - 6:25, 13:4 fixed [4] - 6:21, 13:4, 15:8, 15:9	1:7	25:25, 26:15, 27:12,	hard [2] - 29:11,	improper [6] - 15:1,
fixed [4] - 6:21, 13:4, 15:8, 15:9		28:20, 28:24, 29:12,	29:22	18:14, 19:13, 24:24,
15:8, 15:9	foundation's [2] -	29:18, 35:12	hardly [2] - 11:24,	24:25, 29:13
15:8, 15:9	34:1, 36:21	gets [1] - 12:8	12:5	improperly [1] - 4:15
	Foundation's [2] -	getting [2] - 14:8,	harm [1] - 28:12	inappropriate [5] -
FLEISSIG [3] - 2:3,	3:11, 5:3	28:8	hat [1] - 11:8	4:20, 11:18, 20:24,
2:6, 3:19	foundations [1] -	gift [1] - 38:7	hatched [2] - 14:1,	21:25, 23:3
Fleissig [2] - 3:17,	28:3	give [5] - 7:7, 17:1,	18:9	INC [1] - 1:7
3:19	four [6] - 16:1, 16:2,	22:8, 23:4, 32:2	hear [2] - 18:23,	Inc [1] - 3:11
flexible [1] - 29:25	16:9, 16:19, 18:8,	given [14] - 14:21,	30:12	include [1] - 39:22
focused [5] - 4:4,	19:20	14:22, 14:23, 16:10,	heart [1] - 10:10	includes [1] - 32:11
30:16, 32:3, 36:25,	Frankenthaler [5] -	20:16, 22:14, 22:17,	Hecht [3] - 30:22,	including [3] - 12:23,
37:4	3:10, 18:21, 24:21,	28:19, 29:12, 33:1,	32:14, 34:7	26:3, 28:4
follow [1] - 20:4	30:6, 30:24	33:2, 38:9, 38:17	HECHT [1] - 1:6	incorrect [2] - 8:14,
followed [2] - 31:9,	frankenthaler [1] -	giving [2] - 30:16,	held [1] - 15:7	13:20
34:11	28:25	35:20	HELEN [1] - 1:7	Index [1] - 1:3
following [3] - 6:19,	FRANKENTHALER	go [9] - 6:10, 20:7,	Helen [2] - 3:10, 30:5	indicia [1] - 20:24
15:11, 24:15	[1] - 1:7	30:11, 31:1, 35:15,	help [1] - 34:18	initial [1] - 3:16
FOR [3] - 1:18, 1:22,	Frankenthaler's [3] -	36:16, 36:19, 36:24,	helped [1] - 35:20	instead [2] - 6:15,
2:4	16:15, 28:18, 29:8	38:1	helpful [1] - 35:22	9:15
for [75] - 3:1, 4:6,	Fred [2] - 17:9, 17:22	goes [1] - 7:14	hid [1] - 20:11	intentionally [1] -
4:7, 4:9, 4:18, 5:4,	FREDERICK [1] - 1:3	going [27] - 4:8,	highlights [1] - 5:13	29:25
5:16, 6:7, 6:8, 6:15,	Frederick [1] - 3:1	4:18, 5:2, 9:10, 9:13,	highly [2] - 10:13,	intentions [2] - 27:8,
6:25, 7:7, 7:20, 8:13,	frequent [1] - 21:19	9:25, 10:6, 10:25,	28:23	29:16
8:20, 8:22, 9:2, 9:4,	Friday [3] - 32:5,	11:25, 13:5, 13:12,	himself [4] - 20:12,	interaction [1] -
9:5, 9:6, 10:14, 11:17,	32:24, 35:20	13:19, 16:5, 16:25,	20:21, 32:1, 34:12	38:12
11:24, 12:25, 13:10,	friends [2] - 24:2,	17:2, 17:5, 19:3,	history [3] - 16:3,	interest [27] - 13:20,
13:12, 14:24, 15:5,	25:6	30:23, 31:7, 34:17,	19:14, 29:15	16:15, 18:16, 23:23,
15:14, 15:15, 16:5,	from [26] - 3:2, 3:8,	35:4, 36:11, 38:13,	hold [5] - 4:18, 4:24,	24:1, 24:14, 25:12,
17:21, 17:25, 18:17,	6:14, 10:18, 11:20,	39:7, 39:18, 40:10	5:13, 6:4, 7:12	26:6, 26:9, 27:10,
19:9, 20:3, 20:11,	11:25, 12:1, 17:19,	gone [1] - 29:7	holds [1] - 14:9	27:11, 27:14, 27:19,
21:14, 21:17, 22:8,	17:24, 18:2, 18:24,	Good [1] - 3:22	Honor [43] - 3:23,	27:21, 28:7, 28:8,
22:18, 23:16, 24:18,	20:3, 20:6, 20:11,	good [5] - 3:23,	4:9, 4:17, 4:25, 5:9,	28:10, 29:23, 30:10,
24:24, 25:3, 25:5,	22:1, 26:7, 26:25,	27:22, 30:14, 38:8,	5:16, 6:12, 6:17, 7:4,	35:12, 35:13, 37:17,
25:18, 26:6, 26:7,	27:7, 28:18, 30:12,	41:4	7:7, 8:1, 8:15, 9:8,	37:24, 38:1, 39:14,
27:11, 27:14, 27:18,	31:9, 31:23, 32:7,	got [6] - 5:10, 8:17,	9:17, 10:21, 12:6,	39:21, 40:13
28:1, 28:17, 29:11,	32:9, 35:19, 40:2	8:25, 10:6, 12:12,	14:19, 15:22, 17:20,	interesting [3] -
30:2, 30:7, 30:15,	front [1] - 4:1	16:4	18:1, 20:6, 20:14,	32:1, 33:9, 33:12
32:2, 32:5, 32:21,	full [2] - 14:11, 31:12	gracious [1] - 32:18	21:3, 22:1, 22:11,	interpretation [1] -
34:1, 34:7, 34:16,	fully [1] - 35:23	granted [1] - 38:15	23:21, 25:20, 27:23,	24:13
34:22, 35:11, 36:21,	fund [1] - 40:2	grants [1] - 14:17	28:6, 28:15, 29:7,	interrupting [1] -
37:25, 38:6, 38:22,	funds [2] - 27:3, 27:4	Gravure [3] - 26:3,	29:22, 29:24, 30:9,	19:9
40:6, 40:14, 41:2	further [1] - 39:1	26:21, 27:2	30:14, 31:1, 31:15,	intervened [1] -
For [2] - 23:18, 38:16	future [3] - 18:18,	great [1] - 30:7	33:15, 33:22, 34:18,	26:23
formality [1] - 20:6	28:11, 30:7	greatest [1] - 29:21	37:11	intervening [1] -
forth [1] - 38:18		grow [1] - 31:16	honor [1] - 29:17	28:6
forward [2] - 18:6,	G	guys [2] - 17:8,	Honor's [2] - 4:19,	intervention [1] -
30:11	-	17:13	20:18	26:24
found [4] - 17:11,	0		HONORABLE [1] -	invented [1] - 35:9
20:20, 26:5, 26:23	G _[2] - 1:13, 32:8	H	1:13	investigations [2] -
foundation [30] -	gain [1] - 27:7		hope [1] - 3:24	3:14, 40:25
3:21, 12:2, 13:21,	gave [2] - 10:17,	honging (1) 11-0		investigatory [1] -
14:9, 15:3, 16:3,	32:20	hanging [1] - 11:8	I	4:3
16:13, 16:15, 17:10,	General [10] - 26:9,	happen [1] - 19:15		involved [7] - 11:12,
18:18, 22:18, 24:25,	26:15, 26:17, 35:18,	happened [10] -		20:13, 24:1, 24:5,
25:2, 25:14, 25:23,	37:6, 37:23, 38:12,	8:15, 8:16, 10:18,	idea [2] - 17:12	24:10, 26:10, 26:15
27:10, 28:2, 28:11,	40:3, 40:9	14:5, 17:14, 19:20,	ignore [2] - 9:13,	involves [1] - 27:2
28:13, 28:17, 29:13,	generally [1] - 24:5	19:23, 20:21, 21:18, 21:23	10:25	involving [2] - 39:21,
30:4, 30:6, 30:21,	get [24] - 3:25, 6:12,	21.20	imagine [2] - 29:11,	40:20
		LD		

		1		¹
Iseman [45] - 3:2,	26:20, 27:6, 27:16,	limited [2] 24:3,	MATTHEW [1] - 2:5	minute [3] - 14:24,
5:3, 6:14, 8:23, 9:11,	27:23, 33:14, 41:5	24:12	Matthew [2] - 3:15	16:6, 20:17
9:19, 11:17, 11:23,	Kaplan [15] - 3:2,	limiting [1] - 25:19	mean [5] - 16:22,	minutes [12] - 4:13,
12:4, 13:23, 14:23,	4:6, 4:7, 4:8, 7:2,	limits [1] - 37:21	18:3, 36:18, 39:14,	8:20, 11:11, 18:1,
15:2, 16:9, 17:22,	12:12, 16:4, 19:25,	line [2] - 32:1, 32:4	40:6	18:3, 21:17, 22:7,
18:7, 20:17, 20:19,	31:2, 31:8, 32:25,	lines [1] - 23:8	meant [1] - 31:18	22:14, 31:5, 33:22,
21:18, 22:1, 23:1,	33:10, 35:20, 36:12	Lisa [1] - 41:12	measures [1] - 4:3	34:3
23:3, 24:18, 24:20,	KARP [1] - 1:24	LISA [1] - 2:23	mechanism [1] -	missed [1] - 12:14
24:22, 27:5, 27:7,	Karp [2] - 3:7, 3:12	Lise [1] - 30:19	18:25	missing [2] - 19:12,
29:13, 31:4, 31:20,	KeySpan [2] - 27:15,	LISE [1] - 1:6	meeting [107] - 4:13,	39:19
33:3, 33:11, 34:8,	37:22	listening [1] - 33:10	6:19, 6:23, 6:24, 6:25,	mistaken [2] - 34:20,
34:9, 34:12, 35:14,	kind [4] - 4:19, 4:20,	litigated [1] - 29:7	7:3, 7:10, 7:13, 7:18,	34:21
35:17, 35:23, 36:14,	16:17, 30:9	litigation [2] - 36:16,	7:21, 8:5, 8:6, 8:7,	moment [5] - 5:5,
36:18, 37:12, 38:18,	known [1] - 12:7	36:19	8:8, 8:9, 8:11, 8:13,	5:18, 7:2, 12:16,
40:4	knows [3] - 23:22,	lively [1] - 37:20	8:16, 8:19, 8:22, 9:1,	23:17
ISEMAN [1] - 1:3	29:24, 39:1	LLP [2] - 1:17, 3:9	9:2, 9:4, 9:6, 9:7,	Monday [3] - 31:11,
iseman [2] - 11:10,	Kolbe [1] - 3:19	longstanding [1] -	9:10, 9:13, 9:14, 9:17,	32:4, 32:23
16:19	KOLBE [2] - 2:6,	29:14	9:18, 10:2, 10:7, 10:9,	money [3] - 27:12,
Iseman's [5] - 20:10,	3:19	look [13] - 5:13, 8:20,	10:12, 10:17, 11:1,	28:8, 29:19
29:14, 32:19, 36:10,	Kosher [1] - 14:25	13:15, 15:6, 16:11,	11:2, 11:4, 11:9,	months [1] - 20:11
38:24		- 17:25, 24:15, 25:4,	11:14, 11:15, 12:8,	moot [1] - 40:24
issue [6] - 4:4, 14:7,	L	25:20, 36:20, 37:20,	12:9, 12:13, 12:14,	mortgage [1] - 21:7
14:11, 29:6, 39:3,		40:10	12:20, 12:21, 12:22,	most [4] - 10:20,
39:4		looked [3] - 25:1,	12:23, 12:25, 13:3,	29:8, 29:9, 37:21
issues [3] - 16:17,	lack [3] - 13:9, 26:23,	39:14, 39:21	13:6, 13:7, 13:11,	MOTHERWELL [1] -
36:21, 40:6	33:7	looking [7] - 5:23,	13:18, 14:2, 14:3,	1:6
items [1] - 31:1	Lally [1] - 38:5	5:25, 6:5, 11:19, 23:2,	14:4, 15:10, 15:12,	Motherwell [8] -
itself [1] - 6:25	language [2] - 24:13,	27:12, 34:18	15:14, 15:23, 15:25,	13:16, 30:20, 31:10,
	33:5	looks [1] - 4:24	16:1, 16:5, 16:7,	31:11, 32:13, 34:7,
J	laser [2] - 4:4, 30:16	lost [1] - 23:17	16:14, 17:1, 17:3,	34:9, 38:21
	last [5] - 14:24,	LYNN [1] - 1:23	17:14, 17:16, 18:7,	motion [10] - 3:12,
	19:20, 20:17, 27:24,	Lynn [1] - 3:6	19:11, 19:19, 19:20,	14:14, 14:17, 22:24,
JASON [1] - 2:6	28:6		21:16, 22:7, 22:11,	23:6, 37:3, 40:23,
Jason [1] - 3:18	late [2] - 10:21, 12:13	Μ	22:12, 22:13, 22:19,	40:25
JENNIFER [1] - 1:13	law [16] - 3:16, 5:6,	IVI	31:5, 31:6, 31:13,	motions [3] - 4:1,
joined [2] - 8:23,	5:9, 5:10, 6:7, 6:21,		32:5, 32:6, 32:10,	4:2, 11:22
12:20	15:5, 15:19, 17:20,	mail [18] - 4:13, 9:1,	32:21, 32:23, 33:5,	motives [2] - 27:22
joins [1] - 33:25	21:4, 23:22, 25:15,	9:3, 9:11, 9:22, 11:3,	34:4, 34:5, 34:10,	Mountain [2] - 29:5,
Judge [2] - 21:22,	29:24, 30:4, 39:25	11:5, 12:12, 13:15,	39:8, 39:11, 39:12	29:6
30:2	Law [1] - 23:18	16:21, 17:7, 31:9,	meetings [8] - 7:25,	Mountains [2] -
judgment [1] - 23:2	lawsuit [1] - 36:9	31:14, 31:17, 32:14,	15:7, 16:2, 17:18,	28:19, 29:7
jump [1] - 16:21	lawyer [2] - 17:12,	33:2, 39:9	19:15, 19:18, 20:4,	movants [1] - 40:22
jurisdiction [1] -	17:13	mails [7] - 9:16,	20:7	movement [1] - 29:9
36:16	lawyers [1] - 16:11	10:20, 16:19, 21:12,	member [3] - 11:11,	MR [1] - 3:15
jurisdictions [1] -	leading [1] - 11:25	21:14, 21:15, 21:21	15:17, 38:25	MS [50] - 3:1, 3:6,
25:1	least [1] - 23:9	majority [5] - 5:12,	members [3] - 7:20,	3:23, 4:9, 4:17, 5:8,
JUSTICE [1] - 1:14	leave [2] - 15:20,	5:17, 5:21, 7:11, 8:5	8:18, 30:23	5:15, 5:23, 6:3, 6:11,
justified [1] - 26:25	38:25	mandated [1] - 23:14	mentioned [1] -	6:14, 7:4, 8:1, 8:14,
	LeConey [3] - 1:20,	manufactured [1] -	36:10	9:8, 10:4, 10:11,
K	3:4, 4:8	21:23	MEREDITH [1] - 1:24	10:16, 11:7, 12:15,
	legacy [6] - 14:9,	MARTIN [1] - 1:17	Meredith [1] - 3:7	12:17, 13:10, 15:21,
K-a-r-p [1] - 3:8	16:16, 27:9, 27:18,	Martin [1] - 3:2	merits [4] - 5:2, 37:1,	16:9, 17:6, 19:9, 20:2,
KAPLAN [42] - 1:17,	29:18, 30:7	masterpiece [1] -	37:5, 40:20	20:9, 20:24, 21:11,
1:19, 3:1, 4:9, 4:17,	legislation [2] - 36:7,	28:18	message [1] - 8:10	21:24, 22:10, 23:21,
5:8, 5:15, 5:23, 6:3,	38:14	masterpieces [1] -	Michael [2] - 30:22,	24:8, 24:11, 25:12,
6:11, 6:14, 7:4, 8:1,	legislature [1] -	29:21	34:7	26:20, 27:6, 27:16,
8:14, 9:8, 10:4, 10:11,	38:13	masterwork [1] -	MICHAEL [1] - 1:6	27:23, 30:14, 33:14,
10:16, 11:7, 12:15,	less [1] - 19:20	28:23	mid [1] - 19:23	33:19, 33:21, 34:25,
12:17, 13:10, 15:21,	letter [1] - 36:11	materials [1] - 13:22	middle [1] - 3:16	35:9, 37:5, 37:19,
16:9, 17:6, 19:9, 20:2,	Lexington [2] - 1:22,	matter [8] - 6:6, 6:13,	mind [2] - 11:2,	41:5, 41:6
20:9, 20:24, 21:11,	3:9	12:18, 12:19, 15:19,	20:18	museums [1] - 29:2
, _ 	1			
	life [1] - 26:1	33:17, 33:18, 41:10	mine [3] - 5:18, 6:1,	
21:24, 22:10, 23:21, 24:8, 24:11, 25:12,	life [1] - 26:1 likely [1] - 25:25		mine [3] - 5:18, 6:1, 6:4	

Ν	13:2, 13:9, 13:10,	otherwis] - 6:21,	PLAINTIFF [1] - 1:18	proposal [2] - 25:22
	13:11, 15:12, 16:4,	6:25, 15:9, 28:20	plaintiff's [1] - 25:7	33:24
N	16:10, 16:18, 19:3,	ounce [1] - 13:24	plaintiffs [2] - 26:25,	prosecute [2] -
N-e-u-n-e-r [1] - 3:6	21:15, 22:9, 31:5,	ousted [2] - 38:18,	27:3	26:12, 26:15
Nagy [1] - 3:17	31:11, 32:20, 32:22,	38:19	plan [7] - 9:9, 12:7,	protect [1] - 28:11
NAGY [1] - 2:3	32:23, 33:1, 33:7,	ouster [1] - 24:24	14:1, 16:10, 18:6,	protest [1] - 13:8
named [1] - 30:23	33:8, 39:7, 39:9,	outcome [1] - 24:17	18:9, 26:6	protesting [1] - 33:6
near [1] - 14:15	39:10	own [4] - 12:24, 21:5,	planning [2] - 10:2,	proud [1] - 36:22
necessary [3] - 13:2,	Ns [1] - 29:3	22:22, 38:11	11:6	provide [3] - 6:18,
22:4, 23:25	number [5] - 3:13,		plans [5] - 9:20,	7:5, 37:25
need [1] - 6:11	15:16, 35:10, 40:24,	P	12:1, 13:16, 24:25,	provision [3] - 7:19,
needs [2] - 31:14,	41:1		26:5	13:6, 23:19
32:21	NYAG [1] - 28:4		play [1] - 10:10	provisions [1] -
nefarious [1] - 35:2		p.m [1] - 10:4	point [11] - 8:19,	32:25
nephew [2] - 28:1,	0	page [2] - 8:23, 34:3	9:21, 10:16, 11:7,	public [3] - 18:16,
39:16	_	painting [1] - 28:24	12:20, 19:8, 34:5,	18:20, 27:14
NEUNER [11] - 1:23,		papers [2] - 21:6,	34:17, 37:2, 37:21,	pure [1] - 27:22
3:6, 3:23, 30:14,	objected [1] - 28:1	41:3	40:11	purely [1] - 36:8
33:19, 33:21, 34:25,	objecting [1] - 15:2	paragraph [2] -	pointed [3] - 10:21,	purpose [2] - 25:18,
35:9, 37:5, 37:19,	objections [1] - 14:8	31:21, 34:3	28:6, 31:24	35:2
41:6	obvious [1] - 20:12	parallel [1] - 36:12	policing [1] - 38:7	purposes [1] - 6:8
Neuner [3] - 3:6,	occur [1] - 6:16	parameters [1] - 3:14	portions [1] - 3:5	purposes [1] - 0.8 pursue [1] - 38:10
29:3, 30:13	occurred [1] - 28:13	parsing [1] - 33:5	potential [1] - 30:4	pursue [1] - 38.10
never [5] - 10:25,	occurring [1] - 28:14	PART [1] - 1:1	practice [1] - 21:3	
14:5, 17:17, 33:14	OF [3] - 1:1, 1:1	part [5] - 15:1, 18:5,	practice [1] - 21.3	pushed [1] - 18:14
NEW [2] - 1:1, 1:1	offer [1] - 32:18	20:25, 28:18, 36:21	precedent [2] -	put [7] - 14:13,
New [33] - 1:10, 1:19,	office [4] - 7:12, 8:5,	participate [1] -		18:23, 21:24, 22:25,
1:23, 2:5, 3:3, 3:9,	36:19	13:13	31:16, 40:14	24:23, 33:23, 33:25
3:18, 5:9, 5:10, 15:4,	officer [2] - 5:19,	participated [1] -	preference [1] - 38:3	putting [1] - 9:21
17:20, 23:22, 25:14,	37:15	39:10	prerogative [1] -	
26:22, 29:1, 29:2,	officers [6] - 7:22,	participating [3] -	32:19	Q
20:22, 29:1, 29:2, 29:24, 30:3, 35:17,	7:23, 8:1, 8:3, 15:16,	11:10, 34:4, 34:10	presentation [3] -	
35:18, 35:21, 35:24,	32:12	partner [2] - 3:4, 3:7	9:19, 12:10, 19:18	qualification [1] -
36:1, 36:2, 37:6,	OFFICIAL [1] - 2:24	partners [1] - 3:18	presented [1] - 37:6	7:14
37:13	Official [1] - 41:13		presenting [2] -	quite [1] - 25:3
	often [1] - 25:21	party [2] - 40:1, 40:10	35:24, 36:11	quite [1] - 25.5
next [6] - 7:12,	one [30] - 4:2, 4:13,		president [1] - 30:21	Р
13:12, 18:19, 19:4, 32:9, 39:12	5:5, 5:18, 6:4, 7:2,	passed [1] - 26:10	principal [2] - 17:21,	R
,		past [4] - 17:18,	25:20	
nickel [1] - 27:8	9:11, 9:14, 10:20,	19:16, 21:2, 22:17	private [2] - 27:17,	raise [2] - 12:4, 40:6
night [1] - 13:17	11:23, 12:10, 12:16,	pattern [1] - 20:5	37:23	raised [3] - 12:3,
nominates [1] -	18:17, 20:9, 20:19,	Peace [1] - 25:5	problem [1] - 6:17	27:24, 32:1
34:12	22:3, 24:20, 25:25,	pending [1] - 36:9	problems [1] - 26:4	Ravello [1] - 23:8
nomination [1] -	26:11, 26:18, 28:22,	penny [1] - 27:8	procedure [1] - 31:8	re [2] - 32:1, 32:16
34:14	29:20, 30:22, 34:2,	people [4] - 13:12,	proceed [1] - 36:3	re-electing [1] -
non [1] - 6:16	34:17, 35:11, 39:16,	19:16, 27:12, 30:8	proceeded [1] - 34:5	32:16
non-reelection [1] -	39:17	percent [1] - 31:2	proceeding [1] -	
6:16	one-year [1] - 34:2	perfect [2] - 37:5,	40:21	reacted [1] - 13:23
none [5] - 13:21,	operated [1] - 21:1	37:19	PROCEEDINGS [1] -	read [1] - 24:3
13:22, 13:23	opportunity [2] -	perhaps [2] - 39:17,	1:10	reading [1] - 5:19
nonprofit [2] - 26:1,	14:13, 23:4	40:8	proceeds [1] - 38:1	really [9] - 4:4,
35:11	opposed [1] - 4:15	period [4] - 16:10,	process [4] - 6:15,	11:20, 17:8, 17:9,
Not-For-Profit [1] -	opposite [2] - 27:6,	16:20, 18:8, 22:16	•	17:24, 23:1, 27:22,
23:18	28:9	perpetually [1] - 29:2	14:20, 19:22, 20:25	34:11, 36:22
not-for-profit [2] -	order [6] - 23:19,	person [2] - 38:2,	produced [4] - 18:2,	reason [10] - 9:20,
15:5, 26:7	23:25, 28:16, 28:20,	38:3	18:3, 20:2, 20:3	13:19, 14:6, 18:5,
note [1] - 32:14	28:24, 38:9	personal [1] - 39:17	profit [3] - 15:5, 26:7	22:8, 25:12, 26:14,
noted [2] - 9:17,	organization [2] -	persuing [1] - 26:19	Profit [1] - 23:18	28:12, 38:22, 38:23
32:10	25:16, 25:18		prohibited [1] -	reasonable [1] - 3:1
	organizations [1] -	Piece [1] - 38:16	35:19	reasons [3] - 20:19,
nothing to 0.46	26:4	place [3] - 10:12,	proper [4] - 14:20,	24:19, 28:22
nothing [3] - 9:16,		14:4, 32:11	15:1, 16:18, 23:5	
14:23, 27:7			,,	
14:23, 27:7 notice [31] - 8:25,	original [2] - 9:17,	places [1] - 15:8	properly [2] - 37:9,	received [2] - 31:21 32:7
14:23, 27:7				

22:21 recognition [1] -27:20 recognize [1] - 8:21 recognized [4] -8:21, 31:15, 31:18, 39:25 reconstrued [1] -22:11 record [11] - 4:23, 11:15, 13:24, 14:3, 14:11, 14:14, 16:2, 17:23, 22:18, 22:23, 33:16 recused [2] - 20:12, 20:21 redactions [2] -14:16. 14:17 redesignate [1] -17:15 reelect [9] - 9:21, 9:25, 10:2, 10:18, 11:6, 12:10, 17:5, 17:19, 35:10 reelected [9] - 4:16, 6:16, 7:17, 15:15, 34:15, 38:19, 39:3, 39:13, 40:8 reelecting [1] - 34:16 reelection [3] - 6:16, 39:11 refuses [2] - 29:4, 29:5 refutable [1] - 23:10 refute [4] - 4:14, 21:20, 22:6, 23:3 regarding [1] - 3:13 regular [3] - 11:9, 20:3, 20:4 relationship [2] -39:20, 39:23 relationships [1] -40:14 relax [1] - 23:25 relevant [1] - 19:21 remaining [1] - 40:17 remake [1] - 14:13 removal [3] - 7:16, 29:13, 34:22 removed [6] - 5:3, 5:7, 5:16, 5:20, 6:14, 38:20 renamed [1] - 14:4 renders [1] - 40:23 renomination [1] -32:17 report [1] - 8:7 REPORTER [1] -2:24 Reporter [1] - 41:13 reporting [1] - 19:19 represent [1] - 3:10 represented [1] -

35:23 request [1] - 32:2 require [1] - 13:19 resignation [1] -7:16 resolution [5] - 8:16, 9:6, 12:14, 24:17, 33:25 resolves [1] - 40:25 responded [3] -31:25, 36:2 response [3] - 12:4, 29:3. 36:8 responsible [1] -11:10 rest [1] - 9:7 restated [1] - 6:1 result [1] - 34:12 retroactively [2] -15:24, 22:21 retrospective [2] -28:20. 28:25 reveal [1] - 13:1 rid [7] - 12:1, 14:8, 15:2, 17:9, 24:25, 25:22, 29:12 rightly [2] - 32:3, 32:10 risk [4] - 25:13, 26:24, 30:4, 30:9 Roberta [1] - 3:2 **ROBERTA**[1] - 1:19 rolled [1] - 19:16 room [1] - 10:12 Ross [3] - 30:20, 32:14. 34:8 ROSS [1] - 1:6 rule [1] - 24:7 rules [2] - 18:12, 23:25 ruling [1] - 25:7 run [2] - 20:7, 35:17 S salient [1] - 37:21 salvage [1] - 23:11 sat [1] - 24:24 satisfied [1] - 23:17 satisfies [1] - 24:18 saw [2] - 5:11, 38:23 scenario [1] - 26:16 SCHECTER [1] -1:13 scheme [3] - 9:22, 13:14, 15:1 Sea [4] - 28:19, 29:5, 29:6, 29:7 second [10] - 4:18, 6:5, 12:4, 16:1, 25:12, 29:14, 34:3, 34:8, 34:13, 34:14

secondly - 5:1 secret [1] - 14:1 section [2] - 34:22, 34:24 Section [6] - 5:15, 6:22, 7:8, 7:21, 23:18, 33:4 see [17] - 12:25, 13:1, 20:6, 21:19, 23:12, 30:20, 32:8, 32:18, 32:20, 33:4, 33:22, 33:23, 34:2, 34:21, 36:1, 39:4, 39:15 seem [1] - 20:4 self [5] - 11:19, 21:6, 21:8, 21:12, 22:22 self-serving [3] -21:6, 21:12, 22:22 self-written [1] -11:19 selfish [1] - 28:10 send [2] - 9:3, 12:22 sending [2] - 31:20, 32:13 sent [5] - 9:1, 9:3, 9:11, 11:3, 17:2 separate [1] - 16:2 September [2] -1:11. 5:24 sequence [4] - 3:13, 40:23, 41:1 series [1] - 11:19 serious [1] - 28:12 serve [1] - 34:1 served [1] - 10:5 service [1] - 25:2 serving [3] - 21:6, 21:12, 22:22 set [1] - 19:8 shortly [1] - 17:3 shot [1] - 25:25 show [7] - 12:24, 13:7, 13:25, 14:10, 28:16, 33:11, 33:13 showed [3] - 8:12, 10:10, 13:2 showing [2] - 23:9, 36:24 shown [1] - 34:16 shows [1] - 15:14 shutting [1] - 28:3 shy [1] - 12:5 side [4] - 11:21, 17:8, 24:2, 25:6 signed [1] - 14:23 significance [1] -40:9 significant [1] - 25:3 silenced [1] - 36:18 similar [2] - 26:22, 27:13

LD

simple [1] - 28:16 Simpson [1] - 3:8 SIMPSON [1] - 1:21 single [3] - 4:21, 14:15, 23:4 situation [7] - 4:11, 16:17, 25:22, 25:24, 36:7, 37:12, 37:22 situations [1] - 40:3 six [1] - 22:17 slapdash [1] - 14:24 Smith [1] - 30:24 Smithers [1] - 38:6 smoke [1] - 14:21 snob [1] - 29:1 sold [1] - 18:19 solely [1] - 37:4 some [2] - 29:18, 35:2 someone [4] - 25:3, 27:18, 27:21, 40:1 something [6] -17:15, 17:19, 17:25, 21:22, 23:8, 30:7 sometime [2] - 18:3, 19:23 sorry [2] - 17:20, 31:10 special [20] - 23:23, 24:14, 25:12, 26:5, 27:11, 27:19, 27:21, 28:7, 29:12, 29:23, 30:10, 32:21, 35:12, 35:13, 37:17, 37:24, 38:6, 39:14, 39:21, 40:13 specific [2] - 24:3, 27:2 specifically [1] -4:12 spirited [1] - 41:2 stake [2] - 16:18, 24:16 stand [1] - 18:17 standing [36] - 4:5, 17:21, 18:11, 18:15, 18:16, 23:19, 23:23, 23:25, 25:13, 25:15, 26:6, 27:1, 27:11, 27:18, 28:7, 29:23, 30:10, 30:17, 31:4, 35:9, 35:11, 36:15, 37:4, 37:14, 37:18, 37:25, 38:6, 38:8, 38:9, 38:17, 39:22, 40:6, 40:12, 40:13, 40:17 stands [1] - 27:7 start [1] - 15:24 started [2] - 3:25, 37:7 state [2] - 34:20, 37:2

STATE [1] - 1:1 State [1] - 26:22 statement [3] - 8:17, 8:20, 9:12 statements [1] -22:22 states [1] - 32:10 States [1] - 27:14 status [3] - 18:13, 25:8. 25:9 statute [7] - 26:10, 26:22, 28:5, 34:23, 37:13, 39:23, 40:12 statutory [2] - 27:19, 35:12 step [4] - 10:3, 26:20, 39:1 stepdaughter [1] -39:18 stop [2] - 14:7, 39:18 straight [1] - 5:2 Street [5] - 1:10. 1:18, 2:4, 3:3, 3:17 strict [1] - 18:12 stronger [1] - 29:11 strongly [3] - 28:1, 29:1, 30:3 subject [2] - 32:4, 39:11 submit [4] - 22:3, 23:6, 36:14, 37:11 submitted [2] -21:20, 31:17 success [1] - 15:22 successors [1] -7:14 sue [1] - 37:16 sufficient [1] - 10:24 sufficiently [1] -24:16 suggest [3] - 16:18, 23:5, 31:14 suggested [1] - 25:6 summary [1] - 23:2 support [1] - 34:14 supporting [1] -32:16 supposed [4] -16:20, 16:21, 19:1, 21:5 supposedly [1] -10:18 SUPREME [1] - 1:1 surpasses [1] -32:24 survival [2] - 26:24, 27:10 swallow [2] - 24:7, 39:23 sweat [1] - 34:25

т	three-member [1] -	under [25] 1:21,	votes [6] - 15:14,	15:11, 15:24, 19:2,
-	15:17	4:23, 5:2, 5:5, 5:6,	34:6, 34:7, 34:8, 34:9	19:3, 34:2
T	Thursday [1] - 32:13	5:9, 11:22, 14:14,	voting [1] - 33:24	years [6] - 18:20,
T's [1] - 3:16	ties [1] - 24:21	17:20, 17:21, 18:12,		19:25, 24:24, 25:2,
taking [3] - 35:19,	time [14] - 12:3, 12:4,	18:15, 19:12, 19:24,	W	28:1, 30:23
36:13, 38:14	13:4, 15:8, 16:11,	21:3, 21:4, 21:5,		YORK [2] - 1:1, 1:1
talk [2] - 20:22, 24:4	20:16, 24:6, 24:9,	21:25, 22:2, 22:23,	weit a. 0.24 16.6	York [33] - 1:10,
talked [2] - 27:24,	30:16, 32:24, 33:11,	30:3, 31:15, 31:19,	wait [2] - 9:24, 16:6	1:19, 1:23, 2:5, 3:3,
36:5	36:13, 40:7	33:4, 37:16	waive [1] - 13:7	3:9, 3:10, 3:18, 5:9,
talking [4] - 10:14,	timely [1] - 36:8	understand [7] -	waived [1] - 13:9	5:10, 15:4, 17:20,
13:10, 13:11, 25:19	times [1] - 19:16	4:19, 10:8, 10:16,	wants [2] - 17:10,	23:22, 25:14, 26:22
talks [5] - 7:15, 7:19,	today [3] - 3:5, 4:1,	11:8, 16:20, 17:17,	22:1	29:1, 29:2, 29:24,
13:13, 15:4, 15:5	4:4	30:8	waterfall [1] - 38:4	30:3, 35:18, 35:21,
telling [1] - 14:18	together [1] - 3:7	understanding [1] -	weeks [9] - 11:24,	35:25, 36:1, 36:2,
term [5] - 7:17, 7:20,	took [4] - 10:10,	29:12	16:1, 16:2, 16:9,	37:6, 37:13
19:4, 19:8, 34:2	10:12, 14:4, 26:14	undisputed [1] -	17:14, 18:8, 19:20	yourself [1] - 8:20
TERM [1] - 1:1	top [4] - 28:11, 29:2,	22:14	West [2] - 1:18, 3:3	
terminated [1] - 4:15	29:20, 34:3	unelect [1] - 13:19	whatsoever [1] -	
terms [11] - 15:20,	total [1] - 22:17	Union [8] - 23:24,	11:16	
18:25, 21:13, 23:9,	touching [1] - 37:1	24:12, 25:23, 26:4,	whole [1] - 9:21	
26:11, 35:2, 35:5,	track [1] - 36:12	26:8, 26:13, 36:6,	wife [1] - 38:8	
39:4, 39:13, 40:12,	trajectory [1] - 35:3	38:11	wise [1] - 14:19	
40:24	transaction [1] -	United [1] - 27:14	wish [1] - 41:3	
terrific [1] - 4:10	25:25	unless [6] - 5:19,	withdraw [1] - 12:19	
territory [1] - 23:2	transactions [1] -	6:20, 7:15, 15:9, 29:6,	within [3] - 16:10,	
testing [2] - 11:25,	36:25	35:12	22:16, 40:22	
12:1	transcript [2] -	unsigned [1] - 11:20	without [9] - 5:7,	
text [1] - 17:7	40:21, 41:9	untrue [1] - 21:23	5:13, 5:21, 6:17, 9:12,	
THACHER [1] - 1:21	transferred [2] -	unusual [2] - 10:13,	11:20, 13:8, 14:25,	
Thacher [1] - 3:8	18:19, 28:20	28:23	33:6	
THE [49] - 1:1, 1:18,	treat [1] - 9:14	up [22] - 8:12, 9:9,	wonder [1] - 19:5	
1:22, 3:22, 3:24, 4:10,	tries [1] - 32:18	10:10, 11:25, 12:7,	word [2] - 16:20,	
5:5, 5:10, 5:18, 5:25,	true [7] - 18:5, 22:5,	12:24, 13:2, 13:7,	21:18	
6:4, 6:13, 7:2, 7:9,	25:8, 25:23, 26:2,	14:11, 15:14, 17:12,	words [6] - 9:3, 9:5,	
8:3, 8:25, 9:24, 10:6,	29:12, 41:9	17:13, 17:19, 17:25,	9:21, 12:24, 25:8,	
10:14, 11:3, 12:12,	Trustco [1] - 38:4	31:10, 33:11, 33:13,	32:2	
12:16, 12:18, 15:6,	trusted [3] - 16:12,	33:23, 33:25, 40:7,	works [1] - 14:9	
16:4, 16:24, 18:22,		40:11	World [2] - 25:5,	
19:25, 20:8, 20:23,	16:13, 24:21	upset [1] - 35:3	38:16	
21:9, 21:13, 22:4,	trustee [1] - 24:23	useful [1] - 36:1	worry [1] - 39:22	
23:7, 24:6, 24:9,	trustees [2] - 25:8, 25:9	usual [1] - 23:25	would [23] - 7:6,	
25:11, 26:8, 27:2,		utterly [3] - 4:14,	9:19, 12:7, 13:1,	
27:15, 27:17, 30:12,	truth [1] - 30:3	22:6, 40:15	16:14, 17:21, 19:5,	
33:17, 33:20, 34:20,	try [1] - 24:2	-,	20:17, 22:6, 23:5,	
35:1, 37:1, 37:17,	trying [2] - 23:15,	V	24:7, 26:17, 28:23,	
39:2	33:23	• •	30:6, 30:18, 31:12,	
themselves [2] -	two [7] - 3:15, 4:2,		32:11, 36:13, 36:16,	
14:22, 27:4	9:12, 10:20, 20:15,	value [1] - 28:24	36:20, 37:11, 39:23,	
there'd [1] - 30:5	32:22, 35:23	video [1] - 8:23	40:9	
therefore [2] - 36:15,	type [2] - 18:25, 35:2	violated [1] - 20:15	wouldn't [2] - 20:22,	
36:16		virtue [2] - 35:10,	34:13	
thereto [2] - 13:8,	U	36:6	writes [2] - 15:13,	
33:6		vociferous [2] - 12:6,	17:1	
they've [2] - 19:6,	ultimately [1] - 14:20	14:8	written [1] - 11:19	
19:7	un-reelect [5] - 9:21,	voiced [1] - 13:21	wrong [3] - 5:19,	
thinks [1] - 29:17	9:25, 10:18, 12:10,	voicing [1] - 13:22	5:22, 34:24	
threat [1] - 29:14	17:19	vote [10] - 5:17, 5:21,	wrote [1] - 14:22	
threats [1] - 29:12	unable [1] - 36:3	9:10, 10:10, 10:11,		
three [13] - 4:1,	unavailable [1] -	13:19, 15:16, 33:21,	Y	
15:17, 16:18, 17:4,	40:3	34:6, 34:15	•	
17:14, 18:8, 18:20,	uncommon [1] - 7:5	voted [7] - 5:12,		
22:25, 24:18, 28:25,		8:13, 8:18, 9:6, 12:25,	year [11] - 6:20, 6:24,	
31:3, 34:6	undeniable [2] -	22:8, 33:20	7:19, 7:20, 14:3,	
JI.J, J4.0	31:18, 31:19	0, 00.20	. , -,	